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Key Idea - Understanding the journey behind refining models for better speed and/or accuracy for a particular task
Problem Definition

[Image Ref: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Comparison-of-semantic-segmentation-classification-and-localization-object-detection_fig1_334363440]
Object Detection

- Classifying multiple instances of objects and localizing within an image

- One-Stage Methods - Better inference speed - YOLO, SSD and RetinaNet

- Two-Stage Methods - Better Accuracy - Faster R-CNN, Mask R-CNN and Cascade R-CNN

[Img Ref: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Two-stage-vs-one-stage-object-detection-models_fig3_353284602]
Image Segmentation

[Img Ref: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/b-semantic-segmentation-c-instance-segmentation-and-d-panoptic-segmentation-for_fig5_342409316]
Datasets

- **ImageNet** - Large dataset containing annotated images based on WordNet’s hierarchical structure
- **PASCAL VOC** - Pattern Analysis, Statistical Modelling and Computational Learning - Visual Object Classes Dataset
- **MS COCO** - Microsoft Common Objects in Context
- **STL-10** - Subset of ImageNet with 10 Classes. Also has unlabeled images
- **CIFAR-x** - x defines the number of classes

All of these can be used for object detection, segmentation, dense pose estimation, key point detection, etc.

Other task specific datasets - MNIST, KITTI, etc.
Different Methods for Solving these tasks:

- Edge templates + nearest neighbor
- Haar Wavelets + SVM
- Other wavelet + adaBoost etc.
- Rectangular differential features + adaBoost
- Parts based binary orientation position histograms + adaBoost
- Dynamic programming
- Learning based methods
Learning Based Methods

[Ref: https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/9/4/583]
RCNN - Region-based Convolutional Neural Network [2]

- 2K regions are proposed and reshapes
- These are passed through CNN architecture for extracting features (AlexNet, VGG 16, ResNet 50)
- Classification and localization is performed by SVMs and fully connected layers respectively

[Ref: https://towardsdatascience.com/r-cnn-fast-r-cnn-faster-r-cnn-yolo-object-detection-algorithms-36d53571365e]
Proposing the Regions

Selective Search [Uijlings et al.,]  
Edge boxes [Zitnick and Dollar]
Problems with RCNN

- Multistage training is expensive and time consuming - Pretrained CNN network is fine-tune on proposed regions and then final layer is replaced by SVM classifier

- Objects are detected over each of the regions which is time consuming. Positive and negative samples based on IOU are generated after this stage
Fast RCNN [3]

- Inspired by SPPNet, Fast RCNN uses ROI pooling where CNN is applied only once over the resized image and features corresponding to the proposed regions are selected.
- Reduced processing time as features are not extracted for each selected region.
- Since the image is resized, a fixed size vector is obtained at the output which is passed to fully connected layers for predicting classes and bounding box parameters.
Faster RCNN [4]

- Selective search algorithm is replaced by learning based method for proposing regions → faster
- These regions are then reshaped using ROI pooling to extract features
- Fully connected layers predict the objects and bounding boxed for them
- Mask RCNN [5] uses the same architecture but has addition head to generate pixel-by-pixel binary mask for each class
Region Proposal Network [4]

- Proposes $k$ boxes for each sliding window
- Classification layer for objectness score (0 or 1)
- Regression layer to predict 4 coordinates for each box
Mask R-CNN\cite{5}

- Extends Faster R-CNN by adding a branch for predicting an object mask in parallel with the existing branch for bounding box recognition.

- Is easy to generalize to other tasks, e.g., allowing us to estimate human poses in the same framework - using multiple Feature Pyramid Network (FPN).
ROIAlign

- **ROIAlign** - Use binary interpolations based on 4 corners → aggregate the features
- **ROIPool** - Quantize floating point values → Subdivide into spatial bins → aggregate the values
- Quantization error was acceptable for bounding boxes but cannot be used for generating sharp masks
- **ROIAlign** - Use binary interpolations based on 4 corners → aggregate the features
Results on COCO dataset [3]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>method</th>
<th>classifier</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-CNN [9, 10]</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCN [ours]</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCN [ours]</td>
<td>softmax</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Results on COCO dataset [5]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Backbone</th>
<th>AP&lt;sup&gt;bb&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>AP&lt;sup&gt;bb&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>AP&lt;sup&gt;bb&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;75&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN+++ [19]</td>
<td>ResNet-101-C4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN w FPN [27]</td>
<td>ResNet-101-FPN</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN by G-RMI [21]</td>
<td>Inception-ResNet-v2 [41]</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN w TDM [39]</td>
<td>Inception-ResNet-v2-TDM</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN, RoIAlign</td>
<td>ResNet-101-FPN</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask R-CNN</td>
<td>ResNet-101-FPN</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask R-CNN</td>
<td>ResNeXt-101-FPN</td>
<td><strong>39.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>43.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mask R-CNN

- Proposed by Kaiming He et.al. in 2017 (https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.06870.pdf)
- Extends Faster R-CNN by adding a branch for predicting an object mask in parallel with the existing branch for bounding box recognition
- Is easy to generalize to other tasks, e.g., allowing us to estimate human poses in the same framework
Mask R-CNN
YOLO - You Only Look Once

- Belong to a new family of Object Detection Networks: Single Shot Detectors
  - Takes a single shot of the image to detect multiple objects,
  - R-CNN Series have a separate Region Proposal Network (RPN) and then a network for detecting objects from each proposal
  - Much faster than R-CNN models - Faster R-CNN (73.2% mAP at 7 FPS) and YOLOv1 (63.4% mAP at 45 FPS)
The YOLO Family

[img ref: https://pyimagesearch.com/2022/04/04/introduction-to-the-yolo-family/]
YOLOv1

Refs:
- https://towardsdatascience.com/yolov1-you-only-look-once-object-detection-e1f3ffec8a89

Motives and Concepts:
- Divides the input image into an $S \times S$ (7x7) grid.
- If the center of an object falls into a grid cell, that grid cell is responsible for detecting that object.
- Each grid cell predicts B (2) bounding boxes and confidence scores for those boxes. These confidence scores reflect how confident the model is that the box contains an object and also how accurate it thinks the box is that it predicts.
- Formally we define confidence as $Pr(\text{Object}) \times \text{IOU}$. If no object exists in that cell, the confidence score should be zero. Otherwise, we want the confidence score to equal the intersection over union (IOU) between the predicted box and the ground truth.

[Img ref: https://pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/]
YOLOv1

Illustration
YOLOv1

Architecture:
- Inspired by GoogLeNet
- Alternating $1 \times 1$ convolutional layers reduce the features space from preceding layers

**Figure 3:** The Architecture. Our detection network has 24 convolutional layers followed by 2 fully connected layers. Alternating $1 \times 1$ convolutional layers reduce the features space from preceding layers. We pretrain the convolutional layers on the ImageNet classification task at half the resolution ($224 \times 224$ input image) and then double the resolution for detection.
YOLOv1

Output and how it makes sense:

1st - 5th Box #1
6th - 10th Box #2
11th - 30th Class Probabilities

Img ref: https://towardsdatascience.com/yolov1-you-only-look-once-object-detection-e1f3ffec8a89
YOLOv1

Loss:

\[ \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} \mathbb{1}_{i,j}^{\text{obj}} \left[ (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \right] \]

Bounding Box Location \((x, y)\) when there is object

\[ + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} \mathbb{1}_{i,j}^{\text{obj}} \left[ (\sqrt{w_i} - \sqrt{\hat{w}_i})^2 + (\sqrt{h_i} - \sqrt{\hat{h}_i})^2 \right] \]

Bounding Box size \((w, h)\) when there is object

\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} \mathbb{1}_{i,j}^{\text{obj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \]

Confidence when there is object

\[ + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} \mathbb{1}_{i,j}^{\text{noobj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \]

1 when there is no object, 0 when there is object

Confidence when there is no object

\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \mathbb{1}_{i}^{\text{obj}} \sum_{c \in \text{classes}} (p_i(c) - \hat{p}_i(c))^2 \]

Class probabilities when there is object
## Performance

**On PASCAL VOC:**

*Pattern Analysis, Statistical Modelling and Computational Learning - Visual Object Classes Dataset*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real-Time Detectors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>mAP</th>
<th>FPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100Hz DPM [31]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30Hz DPM [31]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast YOLO</td>
<td>2007+2012</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td><strong>155</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOLO</td>
<td>2007+2012</td>
<td><strong>63.4</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Less Than Real-Time</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>mAP</th>
<th>FPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fastest DPM [38]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-CNN Minus R [20]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast R-CNN [14]</td>
<td>2007+2012</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN VGG-16 [28]</td>
<td>2007+2012</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOLO VGG-16</td>
<td>2007+2012</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Real-Time Systems on PASCAL VOC 2007.** Comparing the performance and speed of fast detectors. Fast YOLO is the fastest detector on record for PASCAL VOC detection and is still twice as accurate as any other real-time detector. YOLO is 10 mAP more accurate than the fast version while still well above real-time in speed.
YOLOv2 or YOLO9000: Better, Faster, Stronger

Refs:
- https://towardsdatascience.com/review-yolov2-yolo9000-you-only-look-once-object-detection-7883d2b02a65

Performance:
- At 67 FPS, YOLOv2 gets 76.8% mAP on PASCAL VOC 2007.
- At 40 FPS, YOLOv2 gets 78.6% mAP which is better than Faster R-CNN using ResNet and SSD.
YOLOv2 or YOLO9000: Better, Faster, Stronger

Improvements on YOLOv1:

- Batch Normalization - 2% improvement
- Double input image resolution - 4% mAP improvement
- No Fully connected layers
  - Conv only architecture in which each Anchor Box predicts bounding boxes in its region
  - Class and objectness is calculated for each anchor box - +7% recall
  - Calculated the size of anchor boxes using K (5) means clustering
- Trained on variety of different input image dimensions (320*320 - 608*608)
- Trained for classification (MS COCO dataset) and object detection (stronger)
  - Combining classes from MS COCO and ImageNet by hierarchically clustering classes - finally 9418 classes - 19.7% mAP
How to formulate Anchor Boxes: For every bounding box, we would predict the tx, ty, tw, th, and to which inform the following:

![Diagram of anchor boxes](image)

**Figure 3:** Bounding boxes with dimension priors and location prediction. We predict the width and height of the box as offsets from cluster centroids. We predict the center coordinates of the box relative to the location of filter application using a sigmoid function.
### YOLOv2 or YOLO9000: Better, Faster, Stronger

- **Ablation results:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>YOLO</th>
<th>YOLOv2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>batch norm?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hi-res classifier?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>convolutional?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anchor boxes?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new network?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimension priors?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>location prediction?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passthrough?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multi-scale?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hi-res detector?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOC2007 mAP</strong></td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>65.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>78.6</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement

Refs:
- https://towardsdatascience.com/review-yolov3-you-only-look-once-object-detection-eab75d7a1ba6

Some high level changes:
- Class predictions - **Softmax is not used**, independent logistic classifiers are used with binary cross entropy loss (classes are not mutually exclusive - eg. different datasets)
- 9 anchor boxes with 3 of each scale - you should calculate your own (K-Means)
YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement

Architecture:
- DarkNet 53 (from DN19) - better feature extractor with residual connections
- Feature map upsampling and residual connection - access to finer details at multiple level - Detection at 3 different levels (down-sampled by 32, 16, and 8)
  - YOLOv2 Struggled with small object detection - solved here
YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement

- Architecture

[ref: https://towardsdatascience.com/yolo-v3-object-detection-53fb7d3bfe6b]
YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement

Results

- 3x faster but in terms of mAP, loses out to RetinaNet but very much comparable.
- PS: about Focal loss, They tried using focal loss, but It dropped their mAP about 2 points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>backbone</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>AP$_{50}$</th>
<th>AP$_{75}$</th>
<th>AP$_{S}$</th>
<th>AP$_{M}$</th>
<th>AP$_{L}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Two-stage methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN+++ [5]</td>
<td>ResNet-101-C4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN by G-RMI [6]</td>
<td>Inception-ResNet-v2 [21]</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster R-CNN w TDM [20]</td>
<td>Inception-ResNet-v2-TDM</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>One-stage methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSD513 [11, 3]</td>
<td>ResNet-101-SSD</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSD513 [3]</td>
<td>ResNet-101-DSSD</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetinaNet [9]</td>
<td>ResNet-101-FPN</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetinaNet [9]</td>
<td>ResNeXt-101-FPN</td>
<td><strong>40.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>61.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>44.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>24.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>44.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOLOv3 608 × 608</td>
<td>Darknet-53</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection

Refs:
- https://sh-tsang.medium.com/review-yolov4-optimal-speed-and-accuracy-of-object-detection-8198e5b37883

Aims and results:
- More accurate but just as Fast.
- Improves YOLOv3’s AP and FPS by 10% and 12%, respectively.
- extended as Scaled-YOLOv4
Introduces some definitions which are colloquially used in Object Detection:

- **Bag of freebies**
  - Methods that only change the training strategy or only increase the training cost - Data Augmentation Strategies
    - Random erase, CutOut, Hide-and-seek, grid-mask, DropOut, DropConnect, DropBlock, MixUp, Using style transfer GAN, label smoothing, IoU losses.

- **Bag of specials**
  - Methods that only increase the inference cost by a small amount but can significantly improve the accuracy of object detection - architectural changes
    - SPP, ASPP, RFB, Spatial Pyramid Matching (SPM), Skip connections
YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection

Architecture:
YOLOv4 uses CSPDarknet53 as the backbone, SPP and PANet as the neck, and YOLOv3 as the head.

- Cross Stage Partial Network (CSPNet):
  https://sh-tsang.medium.com/review-cspnet-a-new-backbone-that-can-enhance-learning-capability-of-cnn-da7ca51524bf

- Spatial Pyramid Pooling (SSP):

- Path Aggregation Network (PANet):
YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection

Architecture:

[Image ref: https://aiacademy.tw/yolo-v4-intro/]
YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection

- Additional Improvements:
  - Using a Mosaics of images (augmentation technique) to train. Helps with context mixing. Reduced need of large mini-batches.
  - Self Adversarial Training (SAT) - P1: altering the image instead of the weights, P2: detect object in this image.
  - Cross mini-Batch Normalization (CmBN) - Collects statistics only between smaller portions of a mini-batch


Figure 3: Mosaic represents a new method of data augmentation.
YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection

Some Bag of Freebies and Bag of Specials ablation results:
YOLOv4: Optimal Speed and Accuracy of Object Detection

Performance:

- YOLOv4 outperforms EfficientDet, ASFF, NAS-FPN, CenterNet, CornerNet, etc.

Figure 1: Comparison of the proposed YOLOv4 and other state-of-the-art object detectors. YOLOv4 runs twice faster than EfficientDet with comparable performance. Improves YOLOv3’s AP and FPS by 10% and 12%, respectively.
Scaled YOLOv4/YOLOv4-CSP

Refs:
- https://sh-tsang.medium.com/review-scaled-yolov4-scaling-cross-stage-partial-network-51e3c515b0a7

Overview:
- Employs scaling of size, depth, and width of the network layers with Cross Stage Partial Network (CSPNet) blocks.
- CSP to every section of YOLOv4 network - Backbone, Neck, and Head.
- Essentially faster inference for larger resolution capacities.
- A fully CSP-ized model YOLOv4-P5 is designed and can be scaled up to YOLOv4-P6 and YOLOv4-P7.

If interested, please refer to their paper for ablation studies.
Scaled YOLOv4/YOLOv4-CSP

Results:

Figure 1: Comparison of the proposed YOLOv4 and other state-of-the-art object detectors. The dashed line means only latency of model inference, while the solid line include model inference and post-processing.
PP-YOLO

Refs:
- https://pyimagesearch.com/2022/04/04/introduction-to-the-yolo-family/

Overview:
- PaddlePaddle is a deep learning framework written by Baidu, which has a massive repository of Computer Vision and Natural Language Processing models)
- Their YOLOv3 implementations pushed further with larger batches, Exponential decay, DropBlock, SSP, CoordConv etc.
PP-YOLO

Results:
YOLOv5

Refs:
- https://github.com/ultralytics/yolov5 - June 2020
- Only YOLO without a paper - Still patched by Ultralytics

Overview:
- Native implementation in PyTorch, int16 training - helped.
- Data Augmentation Techniques from YOLOv4
- Architecture very close to YOLOv3 - and then improved on eventually
- Great documentation on Training custom dataset, and multi-GPU training - Test run on Google Colab Pro
- Benchmarked to be faster than YOLOv4 for the same mAP scores
- Comes in nano, small, medium, large and extra large sizes
YOLOv5

Architecture:

- **Backbone**: A convolutional neural network that aggregates and forms image features at different granularities. (CSP)
- **Neck**: A series of layers to mix and combine image features to pass them forward to prediction. (PANet)
- **Head**: Consumes features from the neck and takes box and class prediction steps.

[ ref: https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and-evaluation/ ]
YOLOv5

Performance:
YOLOX

Refs:
- https://github.com/Megvii-BaseDetection/YOLOX

Overview:
- Switch back to detector to an anchor-free manner.
  - Anchors were domain specific - less generalized
  - Detection heads were more complicated
    - Now the predictions were directly distance from top and left, plus height and width of the detection. - faster and better performance.
  - Sample close locals as positives - Center Sampling in FCOS
  - SimOTA (based on Optimal Transport Assignment for Object Detection)
YOLOX

Overview (cont.)

- A decoupled head and the leading label assignment strategy SimOTA to achieve state-of-the-art results.
- Experiments indicate that the coupled detection head may harm the performance.
  - Decoupling these heads improves speed of convergence, but decreases AP,
  - They use 'little decoupled head' - -1.1ms in speed
YOLOX

Architecture:

- Shows how the ends are split into different modules for classification, Regression and P(obb)
YOLOX

Performance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>AP (%)</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>GFLOPs</th>
<th>Latency</th>
<th>FPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YOLOv3-ultralytics²</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>63.00 M</td>
<td>157.3</td>
<td>10.5 ms</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOLOv3 baseline</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>63.00 M</td>
<td>157.3</td>
<td>10.5 ms</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+decoupled head</td>
<td>39.6 (+1.1)</td>
<td>63.86 M</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>11.6 ms</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+strong augmentation</td>
<td>42.0 (+2.4)</td>
<td>63.86 M</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>11.6 ms</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+anchor-free</td>
<td>42.9 (+0.9)</td>
<td>63.72 M</td>
<td>185.3</td>
<td>11.1 ms</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+multi positives</td>
<td>45.0 (+2.1)</td>
<td>63.72 M</td>
<td>185.3</td>
<td>11.1 ms</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+SimOTA</td>
<td>47.3 (+2.3)</td>
<td>63.72 M</td>
<td>185.3</td>
<td>11.1 ms</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+NMS free (optional)</td>
<td>46.5 (-0.8)</td>
<td>67.27 M</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>13.5 ms</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refs:

- https://sh-tsang.medium.com/review-yolov7-trainable-bag-of-freebies-sets-new-state-of-the-art-for-real-time-object-detectors-b29f33c041a8

Architecture:

- By controlling the shortest longest gradient path, a deeper network can learn and converge effectively (‘Designing network design strategies’ paper). In this paper, they propose Extended-ELAN (E-ELAN) based on ELAN.

Architecture (cont.)

- a convolutional layer with residual or concatenation is replaced by re-parameterized convolution, there should be no identity connection.
- **Auxiliary Heads** for different resolution of detection
- *Deep supervision* needs to be trained on the target objectives regardless of the circumstances of auxiliary head or lead head. - unexplored and hence;
- **New Label assigner** for each of the heads (Lead head guided label assigner and Coarse-to-fine lead head guided label assigner)

Performance:
- highest accuracy 56.8% AP among all known real-time object detectors with 30 FPS or higher on GPU V100.

- YOLOv7-E6 object detector (56 FPS V100, 55.9% AP) outperforms both transformer-based detector SWINL Cascade-Mask R-CNN (9.2 FPS A100, 53.9% AP) by 509% in speed and 2% in accuracy.

- YOLOv7-E6 also beats convolutional based detector ConvNeXt-XL Cascade-Mask R-CNN (8.6 FPS A100, 55.2% AP) by 551% in speed and 0.7% AP in accuracy.

Performance (cont.)

Figure 1: Comparison with other real-time object detectors, our proposed methods achieve state-of-the-arts performance.
YOLOv6: A Single-Stage Object Detection Framework for Industrial Applications

Refs:
- https://github.com/meituan/YOLOv6
- https://learnopencv.com/yolov6-object-detection/

Motives:
- It if for use in industrial applications, trained for more epochs (400)
YOLOv6: A Single-Stage Object Detection Framework for Industrial Applications

Architecture and Labels:

- Experiments show **SimOTA** and **TAL** (Task Aligned Assignment) are the best label assignment strategies for anchor-free object detection models.
- Revised **Reparameterized backbone and neck** - In reparameterization, the network structure changes during training and inference.
- The entire backbone of the YOLOv6 architecture is called **EfficientRep** - [EfficientRep Torch Implementation on HuggingFace](https://huggingface.co/)
- Medium and Large models, the YOLOv6 architecture uses reparameterized versions of the CSP backbone. They call it the **CSPStackRep**.
YOLOv6: A Single-Stage Object Detection Framework for Industrial Applications

Architecture:

Figure 2: The YOLOv6 framework (N and S are shown). Note for M/L, RepBlocks is replaced with CSPStackRep.
YOLOv6: A Single-Stage Object Detection Framework for Industrial Applications

Loss:
- VeriFocal Loss (VFL) - as classification loss
- Distribution Focal Loss (DFL) - along with SIoU or GIoU as box regression loss

Performance:
- YOLOv6 Nano model has achieved an mAP of 35.6% on the COCO dataset. Also, it runs at more than 1200 FPS on an NVIDIA Tesla T4 GPU with a batch size of 32.
- YOLOv6-L model gives an mAP of 52.5% on the COCO validation dataset while still being able to maintain an FPS of 121.
YOLOv6: A Single-Stage Object Detection Framework for Industrial Applications

Performance (cont):

Diagram showing COCO AP (%) vs Tesla T4 TensorRT FP16 Throughput (FPS), BS=32 for YOLOv6-L, YOLOv6-M, YOLOv6-S, YOLOv6-T, and YOLOv6-N.
SOTA Architectures

- **Detection:**
  - MobileNet
  - SqueezeNet
  - SIFT

- **Segmentation:**
  - U-Net
  - Fast FCN
  - Gated SCNN
  - DeepLab
  - Loss Functions
MobileNet

- Uses depth-wise separable convolutions to build light weight deep neural networks.
- Introduces two simple global hyper-parameters that efficiently trade off between latency and accuracy, allowing the model builder to choose the right sized model for their application based on the constraints of the problem.

Figure 6. Example objection detection results using MobileNet SSD.
## MobileNet

### Table 1. MobileNet Body Architecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type / Stride</th>
<th>Filter Shape</th>
<th>Input Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 3 x 32</td>
<td>224 x 224 x 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s1</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 32 dw</td>
<td>112 x 112 x 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 32 x 64</td>
<td>112 x 112 x 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 64 dw</td>
<td>112 x 112 x 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 64 x 128</td>
<td>56 x 56 x 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s1</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 128 dw</td>
<td>56 x 56 x 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 128 x 128</td>
<td>56 x 56 x 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 128 dw</td>
<td>56 x 56 x 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 128 x 256</td>
<td>28 x 28 x 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s1</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 256 dw</td>
<td>28 x 28 x 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 256 x 256</td>
<td>28 x 28 x 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 256 dw</td>
<td>28 x 28 x 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 256 x 512</td>
<td>14 x 14 x 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x Conv dw / s1</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 512 dw</td>
<td>14 x 14 x 512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 512 x 512</td>
<td>14 x 14 x 512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 512 dw</td>
<td>14 x 14 x 512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 512 x 1024</td>
<td>7 x 7 x 512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv dw / s2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1024 dw</td>
<td>7 x 7 x 1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv / s1</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 1024 x 1024</td>
<td>7 x 7 x 1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Pool / s1</td>
<td>Pool 7 x 7</td>
<td>7 x 7 x 1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC / s1</td>
<td>1024 x 1000</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softmax / s1</td>
<td>Classifier</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Left: Standard Convolution followed by batch normalization and ReLU. Right: Depthwise convolution layer and

---

Network Architecture: MobileNet
SqueezeNet

- Smaller DNNs require less communication across servers during distributed training.
- Smaller DNNs require less bandwidth to export a new model from the cloud to an autonomous car.
- Smaller DNNs are more feasible to deploy on FPGAs and other hardware with limited memory
- Derivative of AlexNet (and in a way, LeNet)

Figure 1: Microarchitectural view: Organization of convolution filters in the Fire module. In this example, $s_{1x1} = 3$, $e_{1x1} = 4$, and $e_{3x3} = 4$. We illustrate the convolution filters but not the activations.
Figure 2: Macroarchitectural view of our SqueezeNet architecture. Left: SqueezeNet (Section 3.3); Middle: SqueezeNet with simple bypass (Section 6); Right: SqueezeNet with complex bypass (Section 6).
SIFT

- Introduced by D. Lowe et.al. in 2004
- Non-learning based approach, Scale-Invariant Feature Transform has 4 main steps:
  - Scale-space peak selection: Potential location for finding features.
  - Keypoint Localization: Accurately locating the feature keypoints.
  - Orientation Assignment: Assigning orientation to keypoints.
  - Keypoint descriptor: Describing the keypoints as a high dimensional vector.
  - Keypoint Matching
U-Net

- Proposed by Olaf Ronneberger et al. in 2015 (https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04597)
- Consists of a contracting path to capture context and a symmetric expanding path that enables precise localization
- Key elements:
  - Encoder Network
  - Skip Connections
  - Bridge
  - Decoder Network

Fig. 1. U-net architecture (example for 32x32 pixels in the lowest resolution). Each blue box corresponds to a multi-channel feature map. The number of channels is denoted on top of the box. The x-y-size is provided at the lower left edge of the box. White boxes represent copied feature maps. The arrows denote the different operations.
Fast FCN

- Introduced by Huikai Wu et al. in 2019 (https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.11816)
- Proposes a novel joint upsampling module named Joint Pyramid Upsampling (JPU) by formulating the task of extracting high-resolution feature maps into a joint upsampling problem
- Reduces the computation complexity by more than three times without performance loss

![Diagram of network architectures](https://example.com/diagram.png)

Figure 1: **Different types of networks for semantic segmentation.** (a) is the original FCN, (b) follows the encoder-decoder style, and (c) employs dilated convolutions to obtain high-resolution final feature maps. Best viewed in color.
Fast FCN
Gated-SCNN

- Proposes a new two-stream CNN architecture for semantic segmentation that explicitly wires shape information as a separate processing branch
Gated-SCNN

Residual Block
Gated Conv Layer
DeepLab

- First, the input image goes through the network with the use of dilated convolutions. Then the output from the network is bilinearly interpolated and goes through the fully connected CRF to fine tune the result to obtain the final predictions.
Figure 4: Incorporating multi-scale features improves the boundary segmentation. We show the results obtained by DeepLab and DeepLab-MSc in the first and second row, respectively. Best viewed in color.
Segmentation Loss Functions

Semantic segmentation models usually use a simple cross-categorical entropy loss function during training. However, if you are interested in getting the granular information of an image, then you have to revert to slightly more advanced loss functions.
Segmentation Loss Functions

- Focal Loss: \( FL(p_t) = -(1 - p_t)\gamma \log(p_t) \).

- Dice Loss: \( DSC = \frac{2|X \cap Y|}{|X| + |Y|} \)

- Intersection over Union (IoU)-balanced Loss: \( IoU = TP/(TP + FP + FN) \)

- Weighted cross-entropy: \( WCE(p, \hat{p}) = - (\beta p \log(\hat{p}) + (1 - p) \log(1 - \hat{p})) \)

- Boundary Loss: \( \text{Dist}(\partial G, \partial S) = \int_{\partial G} \| w_s(p) - p \|^2 dp \)

- Lovasz-Softmax Loss: \( \text{loss}(f) = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{c \in C} \Delta_j(c) \)
Segmentation Loss Functions

- **TopK loss:**
  - Ensure that networks concentrate on hard samples during the training process.

- **Distance penalized CE loss:**
  - Directs the network to boundary regions that are hard to segment.

- **Sensitivity-Specificity (SS) loss:**
  - Computes the weighted sum of the mean squared difference of specificity and sensitivity.

- **Hausdorff distance (HD) loss:**
  - Estimates the Hausdorff distance from a convolutional neural network.
Other References: