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Outline

• Quick recap
• Back propagation through a CNN
• Modifications: Scaling, rotation and deformation invariance
• Segmentation and localization
• Some success stories
• Some advanced architectures
  – Resnet
  – Densenet
  – Transformers and self similarity
Story so far

• Pattern classification tasks such as “does this picture contain a cat”, or “does this recording include HELLO” are best performed by scanning for the target pattern

• Scanning an input with a network and combining the outcomes is equivalent to scanning with individual neurons hierarchically
  – First level neurons scan the input
  – Higher-level neurons scan the “maps” formed by lower-level neurons
  – A final “decision” unit or layer makes the final decision
  – Deformations in the input can be handled by “pooling”

• For 2-D (or higher-dimensional) scans, the structure is called a convnet
• For 1-D scan along time, it is called a Time-delay neural network
The general architecture of a convolutional neural network

- A convolutional neural network comprises of “convolutional” and optional “downsampling” layers
- Followed by an MLP with one or more layers
Each activation map in the convolutional layer has two components:

- A *linear* map, obtained by *convolution* over maps in the previous layer:
  - Each linear map has, associated with it, a *learnable filter*.
  - An *activation* that operates on the output of the convolution.
What is a convolution

- Scanning an image with a “filter”
  - At each location, the “filter and the underlying map values are multiplied component wise, and the products are added along with the bias
What really happens

- Each output is computed from multiple maps simultaneously.
- There are as many weights (for each output map) as the size of the filter \( \times \) no. of maps in previous layer.

Mathematical representation:

\[
z(1, i, j) = \sum_{m} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{l=1}^{3} w(1,m,k,l)I(m, i + l - 1, j + k - 1) + b
\]
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- Each output is computed from multiple maps simultaneously.
- There are as many weights (for each output map) as 
  \[ \text{size of the filter} \times \text{no. of maps in previous layer} \]
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A better representation

• A **stacked arrangement** of planes

• We can view the joint processing of the various maps as processing the stack using a three-dimensional filter

---

Filter applied to kth layer of maps
(reactive component plus bias)
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..A *stacked* arrangement of planes
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We can view the joint processing of the various maps as processing the stack using a three-dimensional filter
A better representation

\[ z(s, i, j) = \sum_{p} \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} w(s, p, k, l)Y(p, i + l - 1, j + k - 1) + b(s) \]

- The computation of the convolutive map at any location sums the convolutive outputs at all planes
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Convolutional neural net: Vector notation

The weight $W(l,j)$ is a 3D $D_{l-1} \times K_l \times K_l$ tensor

$Y(0) = \text{Image}$

for $l = 1:L$  # layers operate on vector at $(x,y)$
    for $j = 1:D_l$
        for $x = 1:W_{l-1}-K_l+1$
            for $y = 1:H_{l-1}-K_l+1$
                segment = $Y(l-1,:,x:x+K_l-1,y:y+K_l-1)$  #3D tensor
                $z(l,j,x,y) = W(l,j).\text{segment}$  #tensor inner prod.
                $Y(l,j,x,y) = \text{activation}(z(l,j,x,y))$

$Y = \text{softmax}( \{Y(L,:,,:,,:}\})$
Convolution can *shrink* a map by using strides greater than 1

- Scanning an image with a “filter”
  - The filter may proceed by *more* than 1 pixel at a time
  - E.g. with a “stride” of *two* pixels per shift
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Convolution can *shrink* a map by using strides greater than 1

• Scanning an image with a “filter”
  – The filter may proceed by *more* than 1 pixel at a time
  – E.g. with a “stride” of *two* pixels per shift
Convolution strides

- Convolution with stride 1 $\rightarrow$ output size same as input size
  - Besides edge effects
- Stride greater than 1 $\rightarrow$ output size shrinks w.r.t. input
Convolutional neural net: Vector notation

The weight \( W(l,j) \) is now a 3D \( D_{l-1} \times K_1 \times K_1 \) tensor (assuming square receptive fields)

\( Y(0) = \) Image

for \( l = 1:L \)  # layers operate on vector at \((x,y)\)
  for \( j = 1:D_l \)
    \( m = 1 \)
    for \( x = 1:\text{stride}:W_{l-1}-K_1+1 \)
      \( n = 1 \)
      for \( y = 1:\text{stride}:H_{l-1}-K_1+1 \)
        \( \text{segment} = Y(l-1, :, x:x+K_1-1, y:y+K_1-1) \)  #3D tensor
        \( z(l,j,m,n) = W(l,j) . \text{segment} \)  #tensor inner prod.
        \( Y(l,j,m,n) = \text{activation}(z(l,j,m,n)) \)
        \( n++ \)
      \( m++ \)
  \( Y = \text{softmax}( \{ Y(L,:,,:,:) \} ) \)
The other method for shrinking the maps: Downsampling/Pooling

- Convolution (and activation) layers are followed intermittently by “downsampling” (or “pooling”) layers
  - Often, they alternate with convolution, though this is not necessary
Recall: Max pooling

- Max pooling selects the largest from a pool of elements
- Pooling is performed by “scanning” the input
Pooling and downsampling

- Pooling is typically performed with strides > 1
  - Results in shrinking of the map
  - “Downsampling”
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- Pooling is typically performed with strides > 1
  - Results in shrinking of the map
  - "Downsampling"
Pooling and downsampling

• Pooling is typically performed with strides > 1
  – Results in shrinking of the map
  – “Downsampling”
Max Pooling layer at layer $l$

a) Performed separately for every map (j).
   *) Not combining multiple maps within a single max operation.

b) Keeping track of location of max

Max pooling

for $j = 1:D_l$
  $m = 1$
  for $x = 1:\text{stride}(l):W_{l-1}-K_l+1$
    $n = 1$
    for $y = 1:\text{stride}(l):H_{l-1}-K_l+1$
      $\text{pidx}(l,j,m,n) = \text{maxidx}(Y(l-1,j,x:x+K_l-1,y:y+K_l-1))$
      $u(l,j,m,n) = Y(l-1,j,\text{pidx}(l,j,m,n))$
      $n = n+1$
      $m = m+1$
Recall: Mean pooling

- Mean pooling computes the \textit{mean} of the window of values
  - As opposed to the max of max pooling
- Scanning with strides is otherwise identical to max pooling
Max Pooling layer at layer $l$

Max pooling

for $j = 1:D_1$
  $m = 1$
  for $x = 1:\text{stride}(l):W_{l-1}-K_l+1$
    $n = 1$
    for $y = 1:\text{stride}(l):H_{l-1}-K_l+1$
      $u(l,j,m,n) = \text{mean}(Y(l-1,j,x:x+K_l-1,y:y+K_l-1))$
      $n = n+1$
    $m = m+1$

a) Performed separately for every map $(j)$
Setting everything together

• Typical image classification task
  – Assuming maxpooling.
Convolutional Neural Networks

- Input: 1 or 3 images
  - Black and white or color
  - Will assume color to be generic
• Several convolutional and pooling layers.
• The output of the last layer is “flattened” and passed through an MLP
Learning the network

- **Parameters to be learned:**
  - The weights of the neurons in the final MLP
  - The (weights and biases of the) filters for every *convolutional* layer
Learning the CNN

• Training is as in the case of the regular MLP
  – The only difference is in the structure of the network
• Training examples of (Image, class) are provided

• Define a divergence between the desired output and true output of the network in response to any input
• Network parameters are trained through variants of gradient descent
• Gradients are computed through backpropagation
Defining the loss

- The loss for a single instance

\( W_m: 3 \times L \times L \)
\( m = 1 \ldots K_1 \)

\( W_m: K_1 \times L_2 \times L_2 \)
\( m = 1 \ldots K_2 \)
Problem Setup

• Given a training set of input-output pairs 
  \((X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), ..., (X_T, d_T)\)

• The error on the \(i^{th}\) instance is \(\text{div}(Y_i, d_i)\)

• The total error

\[
Loss = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \text{div}(Y_i, d_i)
\]

• Minimize \(Loss\) w.r.t \(\{W_m, b_m\}\)
Training CNNs through Gradient Descent

Total training loss:

\[ \text{Loss} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \text{div}(Y_i, d_i) \]

Assuming the bias is also represented as a weight

- Gradient descent algorithm:
- Initialize all weights and biases \( \{w(:, :, :, :, :, :)\} \)
- Do:
  - For every layer \( l \) for all filter indices \( m \), update:
    - \( w(l, m, j, x, y) = w(l, m, j, x, y) - \eta \frac{d\text{Loss}}{dw(l,m,j,x,y)} \)
- Until \( Err \) has converged
Training CNNs through Gradient Descent

Total training loss:

\[ \text{Loss} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \text{div} \left( Y_i, d_i \right) \]

Assuming the bias is also represented as a weight

• Gradient descent algorithm:
  • Initialize all weights and biases \( \{ w(:,:,:,,:,:) \} \)
  • Do:
    – For every layer \( l \) for all filter indices \( m \), update:
      • \( w(l,m,j,x,y) = w(l,m,j,x,y) - \eta \frac{d\text{Loss}}{dw(l,m,j,x,y)} \)
  • Until \( \text{Loss} \) has converged
The derivative

Total training loss:

\[ \text{Loss} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_i \text{Div}(Y_i, d_i) \]

- Computing the derivative

Total derivative:

\[ \frac{d\text{Loss}}{dw(l, m, j, x, y)} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_i \frac{d\text{Div}(Y_i, d_i)}{dw(l, m, j, x, y)} \]
The derivative

Total training loss:

\[ \text{Loss} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_i \text{Div}(Y_i, d_i) \]

- Computing the derivative

Total derivative:

\[
\frac{d\text{Loss}}{dw(l, m, j, x, y)} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_i \frac{d\text{Div}(Y_i, d_i)}{dw(l, m, j, x, y)}
\]
Backpropagation: Final flat layers

• Backpropagation continues in the usual manner until the computation of the derivative of the divergence w.r.t the inputs to the first “flat” layer
  – Important to recall: the first flat layer is only the “unrolling” of the maps from the final convolutional layer
Backpropagation: Convolutional and Pooling layers

- Backpropagation from the flat MLP requires special consideration of
  - The shared computation in the convolution layers
  - The pooling layers (particularly maxout)

\[ \nabla_{Y(L)} \text{Div}(Y(X), d(X)) \]

\[ \text{Need adjustments here} \]
BP: Convolutional layer

- For every $l^{th}$ layer filter, each position in the map in the $l - 1^{th}$ layer affects several positions in the map of the $l^{th}$ layer.
BP: Convolutional layer
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BP: Convolutional layer

For every $\text{th}$ layer filter, each position in the map in the $\text{th}$ layer affects several positions in the map of the $\text{th}$ layer.

Output of $l-1^{th}$ layer

Affine combination at $l^{th}$ layer

Activation $f()$
BP: Convolutional layer

\[ \nabla_Y(l-1)Div \quad \nabla_Z(l)Div \quad \nabla_Y(l)Div \]

- **Assuming** \( \nabla_Y(l)Div \) is available
  - Remember – it is available for the \( L^{th} \) layer already from the flat MLP
- **Must compute** \( \nabla_Z(l)Div \) and \( \nabla_Y(l-1)Div \)

\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array} \]

Convolved Feature \( Z(l) \)

Activation \( f() \)

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
\end{array} \]
BP: Convolutional layer

\[ \nabla_{Y(l-1)}Div \] \[ \rightarrow \] \[ \nabla_{Z(l)}Div \] \[ \leftarrow \] \[ \nabla_{Y(l)}Div \]

\[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} 
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 
4 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\
2 & 4 & 3 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\
\end{array} \]

Activation \( f() \)

\[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 
2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
\end{array} \]

Convolved Feature \( Z(l) \)

Y(l)

- Computing \( \nabla_{Z(l)}Div \)

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dz(l,m,x,y)} = \frac{dDiv}{dY(l,m,x,y)} f'(z(l,m,x,y))
\]

- Simple component-wise computation
• Computing $\nabla_{Y(l-1)}Div$ and $\nabla_{W(l)}Div$
• Each $Y(l-1, m, x, y)$ affects several $z(l, *, x, y)$ terms
  – All of them contribute to the derivative w.r.t. $Y(l-1, m, x, y)$
BP: Convolutional layer

- Each affects several terms - Affects terms in all layer maps - All of them contribute to the derivative of the divergence w.r.t.

Each $Y(l - 1)$ affects several $z(l, n, x, y)$ terms
BP: Convolutional layer

- Each $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ affects several $z(l, n, x, y)$ terms
BP: Convolutional layer

• Each $Y(l-1, m, x, y)$ affects several $z(l, n, x', y')$ terms
  – Through $w_l(m, n, x - x', y - y')$
  – Affects terms in all $l^{th}$ layer $Z$ maps

$N = \text{No. of filters}$
BP: Convolutional layer

- For every \( l \)th layer filter, each \( Y(l - 1, m, x, y) \) affects several \( z(l, n, x', y') \) terms
  - Through \( w_l(m, n, x - x', y - y') \)
For every $l^{th}$ layer filter, each $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ affects several $z(l, n, x', y')$ terms.
Each $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ affects several $z(l, n, x', y')$ terms for every $n$

- Affects terms in all $l^{th}$ layer $Z$ maps
- All of them contribute to the derivative of the divergence w.r.t. $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$
BP: Convolutional layer

Derivative w.r.t a specific $y$ term

\[
\frac{d\text{Div}}{dY(l-1, m, x, y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x', y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dz(l, n, x', y')} \frac{dz(l, n, x', y')}{dY(l-1, m, x, y)}
\]

\[
= \sum_n \sum_{x', y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dz(l, n, x', y')} w_l(m, n, x - x', y - y')
\]

Assuming indexing is from 0
BP: Convolutional layer

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l-1,m,x,y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x',y'} \frac{dDiv}{dz(l,n,x',y')} w_l(m,n,x-x',y-y')
\]
BP: Convolutional layer

\[ \frac{d\text{Div}}{dY(l-1,m,x,y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x',y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dz(l,n,x',y')} w_l(m,n,x-x',y-y') \]

Summing over all Z maps

Summing over all positions in each Z map
Each \textbf{weight} $w_l(m, n, x', y')$ also affects several $z(l, n, x, y)$ terms for every $n$

- Affects terms in only one Z map (the nth map)
- All entries in the map contribute to the derivative of the divergence \textit{w.r.t.} $w_l(m, n, x', y')$
BP: Convolutional Layer

Derivative w.r.t a specific \( w \) term

\[
\frac{d\text{Div}}{dw_l (m, n, x, y)} = \sum_{x',y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dZ(l, n, x', y')} \frac{dz(l, n, x', y')}{dw_l (m, n, x, y)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{x',y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dZ(l, n, x', y')} Y(l - 1, m, x' + x, y' + y)
\]
BP: Convolutional layer

\[
\frac{d\text{Div}}{dw_l(m,n,x,y)} = \sum_{x',y'} d\text{Div} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dz(l,n,x',y')} Y(l-1,m,x'+x,y'+y)
\]
CNN: Forward

\[ Y(0,:,:,:) = \text{Image} \]

for \( l = 1:L \)  \# layers operate on vector at \((x,y)\)
  for \( j = 1:D_l \)
    for \( x = 1:W-K+1 \)
      for \( y = 1:H-K+1 \)
        \[ z(l,j,x,y) = 0 \]
        for \( i = 1:D_{l-1} \)
          for \( x' = 1:K_l \)
            for \( y' = 1:K_l \)
              \[ z(l,j,x,y) += w(l,j,i,x',y') \]
              \[ Y(l-1,i,x+x'-1,y+y'-1) \]
              \[ Y(l,j,x,y) = \text{activation}(z(l,j,x,y)) \]

\[ Y = \text{softmax}( Y(L,:,1,1) \ldots Y(L,:,W-K+1,H-K+1) ) \]
Backward layer $l$

dw(l) = zeros(D_1xD_{l-1}xK_1xK_1)

dY(l-1) = zeros(D_{l-1}xW_{l-1}xH_{l-1})

for $j = 1:D_1$
  for $x = 1:W_{l-1}-K_1+1$
    for $y = 1:H_{l-1}-K_1+1$
      $dz(l,j,x,y) = dY(l,j,x,y).f'(z(l,j,x,y))$
      for $i = 1:D_{l-1}$
        for $x' = 1:K_1$
          for $y' = 1:K_1$
            $dY(l-1,i,x+x'-1,y+y'-1) += w(l,j,i,x',y')dz(l,j,x,y)$
            $dw(l,j,i,x',y') += dz(l,j,x,y)Y(l-1,i,x+x'-1,y+y'-1)$
Backward layer $l$

dw(l) = zeros(D_l x D_{l-1} x K_l x K_l)
dY(l-1) = zeros(D_{l-1} x W_{l-1} x H_{l-1})
for $j = 1:D_l$
  for $x = 1:W_{l-1}-K_l+1$
    for $y = 1:H_{l-1}-K_l+1$
      $dz(l, j, x, y) = dY(l, j, x, y) \cdot f'(z(l, j, x, y))$
      for $i = 1:D_{l-1}$
        for $x' = 1:K_l$
          for $y' = 1:K_l$
            $dY(l-1, i, x+x'-1, y+y'-1) += w(l, j, i, x', y')dz(l, j, x, y)$
            $dw(l, j, i, x', y') += dz(l, j, x, y)Y(l-1, i, x+x'-1, y+y'-1)$

Multiple ways of recasting this as tensor/vector operations.
Will not discuss here
Complete Backward (no pooling)

\[ dY(L) = \frac{dDiv}{dY(L)} \]
\[ \text{for } l = L:1 \quad \# \text{Backward through layers} \]
\[ dw(l) = \text{zeros}(D_l \times D_{l-1} \times K_l \times K_l) \]
\[ dY(l-1) = \text{zeros}(D_{l-1} \times W_{l-1} \times H_{l-1}) \]
\[ \text{for } j = 1:D_l \]
\[ \text{for } x = 1:W_{l-1} - K_l + 1 \]
\[ \text{for } y = 1:H_{l-1} - K_l + 1 \]
\[ dz(l, j, x, y) = dY(l, j, x, y) \cdot f'(z(l, j, x, y)) \]
\[ \text{for } i = 1:D_{l-1} \]
\[ \text{for } x' = 1:K_l \]
\[ \text{for } y' = 1:K_l \]
\[ dY(l-1, i, x+x'-1, y+y'-1) += w(l, j, i, x', y')dz(l, j, x, y) \]
\[ dw(l, j, i, x', y') += dz(l, j, x, y)y(l-1, i, x+x'-1, y+y'-1) \]
Complete Backward (no pooling)

dY(L) = dDiv/dY(L)
for l = L:1  # Backward through layers
    dw(l) = zeros(D_l x D_{l-1} x K_l x K_l)
    dY(l-1) = zeros(D_{l-1} x W_{l-1} x H_{l-1})
    for j = 1:D_l
        for x = 1:W_{l-1}-K_l+1
            for y = 1:H_{l-1}-K_l+1
                dz(l,j,x,y) = dY(l,j,x,y).f'(z(l,j,x,y))
                for i = 1:D_{l-1}
                    for x' = 1:K_l
                        for y' = 1:K_l
                            dY(l-1,i,x+x'-1,y+y'-1) +=
                                w(l,j,i,x',y')dz(l,j,x,y)
                            dw(l,j,i,x',y') +=
                            dz(l,j,x,y)y(l-1,i,x+x'-1,y+y'-1)
Multiple ways of recasting this as tensor/ vector operations.
Will not discuss here
Backward (with strides)

dw(l) = zeros(D_lxD_{l-1}xK_lxK_l)
dY(l-1) = zeros(D_{l-1}xW_{l-1}xH_{l-1})
for j = 1:D_l
    for x = 1:W_l
        m = (x-1)\text{stride}
        for y = 1:H_l
            n = (y-1)\text{stride}
            dz(l,j,x,y) = dY(l,j,x,y).f'(z(l,j,x,y))
            for i = 1:D_{l-1}
                for x' = 1:K_l
                    for y' = 1:K_l
                        dY(l-1,i,m+x'-1,n+y'-1) +=
                            w(l,j,i,x',y')dz(l,j,x,y)
                        dw(l,j,i,x',y') +=
                        dz(l,j,x,y)y(l-1,i,m+x'-1,n+y'-1)
Complete Backward (with strides)

dY(L) = dDiv/dY(L)
for l = L:1  # Backward through layers
    dw(l) = zeros(D_lxD_{l-1}xK_lxK_l)
    dY(l-1) = zeros(D_{l-1}xW_{l-1}xH_{l-1})
    for j = 1:D_l
        for x = 1:stride:W_l
            m = (x-1)stride
        for y = 1:stride: H_l
            n = (y-1)stride
            dz(l,j,x,y) = dY(l,j,x,y).f'(z(l,j,x,y))
        for i = 1:D_{l-1}
            for x’ = 1:K_l
                for y’ = 1:K_l
                    dY(l-1,i,m+x’,n+y’) +=
                    w(l,j,i,x’,y’)*dz(l,j,x,y)
                    dw(l,j,i,x’,y’) +=
                    dz(l,j,x,y)y(l-1,i,m+x’,n+y’)
        end
    end
end

Derivative w.r.t $y$: in practice

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial Y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \sum_{n} \sum_{x', y'} \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} w_l(m, n, x - x', y - y')
\]
Derivative w.r.t $y$: in practice

This is a convolution
What are the limits of summation?

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial Y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x', y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dz(l, n, x', y')} w_l(m, n, x - x', y - y')
\]
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

$z(l, n, x - 2, y - 2) + = Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_i(m, n, 2, 2)$

$$\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} + = \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x - 2, y - 2)}w_i(m, n, 2, 2)$$

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$

- Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single \( Y(l - 1, m, x, y) \) influences \( z(l, n, x', y') \)

\[
z(l, n, x - 1, y - 2) \quad = \quad Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 1, 2)
\]

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} \quad = \quad \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x - 1, y - 2)}w_l(m, n, 1, 2)
\]

- Compute how each \( x, y \) in \( Y \) influences various locations of \( z \)
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that \( x, y \) component of \( Y \)
- Each \( z \) is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of \( Y \) it is placed on
How a single $Y(l-1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  - Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on

\[
z(l, n, x, y - 2) + = Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 0, 2)
\]

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} + = \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x, y - 2)}w_l(m, n, 0, 2)
\]
How a single $Y(l-1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

$z(l, n, x - 2, y - 1) + = Y(l - 1, m, x, y) w_l(m, n, 2,1)$

$\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} + = \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x - 2, y - 1)} w_l(m, n, 2,1)$

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  - Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

$z(l, n, x - 2, y - 2) += Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 1,1)$

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} += \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x - 1, y - 1)}w_l(m, n, 1,1)
\]

• Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  – We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  – Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

Let $w_l(m, n, *, *)$ denote the influence matrix.

$$z(l, n, x, y - 1) += Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 0, 1)$$

$$\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} += \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x, y - 1)}w_l(m, n, 0, 1)$$

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  - Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

$z(l, n, x - 2, y) \equiv Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 2, 0)$

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} \equiv \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x - 2, y)} w_l(m, n, 2, 0)
\]

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  - Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

$z(l, n, x - 1, y) += Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 1,0)$

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} += \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x - 1, y)}w_l(m, n, 1,0)
\]

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  - Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

$z(l, n, x, y) += Y(l - 1, m, x, y)w_l(m, n, 0, 0)$

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l - 1, m, x, y)} + \frac{dDiv}{dz(l, n, x, y)}w_l(m, n, 0, 0)
\]

- Compute how each $x, y$ in $Y$ influences various locations of $z$
  - We will have to reverse the direction of influence to compute the derivative w.r.t that $x, y$ component of $Y$
  - Each $z$ is the sum of component-wise product of the filter elements and the elements of the region of $Y$ it is placed on
How a single $Y(l - 1, m, x, y)$ influences $z(l, n, x', y')$

Let's see the derivative maps..

$$\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x', y'} \frac{d\text{Div}}{dz(l, n, x - x', y - y')} w_l(m, n, x', y')$$
Computing the derivative

The derivative (w.r.t) at \( (x, y) \) is obtained by flipping the filter left-right, top-bottom, and computing the inner product with respect to the square patch of \( \partial_{y(l-1, m, x', y')} \) ending at \( (x, y) \).

This would be for any \((x, y)\).
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} \]

= \[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')}
\]

\[w_l(m,n,*,*)\]
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial D\text{iv}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial D\text{iv}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')} \]
Computing the derivative

$\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')} $
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x',y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[ w_l(m, n, *, *) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ = \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[ w_l(m, n, *, *) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{w_1(m, n, *, *)}{\partial \text{z}(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = w_l(m, n, *, *)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]
Computing the derivative

\[ w_l(m, n, *, *) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')} \]
Computing the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x', y')}
\]

\[w_l(m, n, \ast, \ast)\]
In reality, the derivative at each \((x,y)\) location is obtained from all \(z\) maps.
In reality, the derivative at each \((x,y)\) location is obtained from \textit{all} \(z\) maps.
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

**Flip**

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)}
\]

\[w(l, l + 1, n, x, y)\]

\[\text{flip}\]

\[w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y)\]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \text{flip} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \text{flip} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \text{flip} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \text{flip} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

=
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} 
\]

\[
w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) 
\]
$w(l, l+1, n, x, y)$

$\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)}$

$w(l, l+1, n, K+1-x, K+1-y)$
\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} \]

Flip $w(l, l+1, n, x, y)$ to $w(l, l+1, n, K+1-x, K+1-y)$.
\[ w(l, l+1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l+1, n, K+1-x, K+1-y) \]
\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} \]

\[ w(l, l+1, n, K+1-x, K+1-y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \text{flip} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l+1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l+1, n, K+1-x, K+1-y) \]
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)}
\]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]
\[ w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \]

\[ \text{flip} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\[ w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \]

\[ = \]

[Diagram showing a grid of cubes with different colors and labels]
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)}
\]

\[w(l, l+1, n, x, y)\]
\( w(l, l + 1, n, x, y) \)

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} \]

\( w(l, l + 1, n, K + 1 - x, K + 1 - y) \)
Computing the derivative

• This is just a convolution of \( \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x,y)} \) by the inverted filter
  – After zero padding it first with L-1 zeros on every side
Derivative w.r.t $y$

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} &= \sum_n \sum_{x',y'} w(l,n,m,K-1-x',K-1-y') \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x+x'-(K-1),y+y'-(K-1))} \\
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} &= \sum_n \sum_{x',y'} \hat{w}(l,n,m,x',y') \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x+x'-(K-1),y+y'-(K-1))}
\end{align*}
\]

Define: Flipping the filter left-right and top-bottom

\[
\hat{w}(l,n,m,x',y') = w(l,n,m,K-1-x',K-1-y')
\]

Bottom to top flip
Left to right flip

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
4 & 5 & 6 \\
7 & 8 & 9 \\
\end{array}
\quad \quad \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
9 & 8 & 7 \\
6 & 5 & 4 \\
3 & 2 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]
Derivative w.r.t $y$

$$z(l, n, x, y)$$

$$z(l, n, x - (K - 1), y - (K - 1))$$

Reading the value at $(x, y)$ from a shifted version of $z$

$$\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1, m, x, y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x', y'} \hat{w}(l, n, m, x', y') \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l, n, x + x' - (K - 1), y + y' - (K - 1))}$$
Derivative w.r.t \( y \)

\[
\frac{\partial Div}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \sum_{n} \sum_{x', y'} \hat{w}(l, n, m, x', y') \frac{\partial Div}{\partial z(l, n, x + x' - (K - 1), y + y' - (K - 1))}
\]

Shifting down and right by \( K-1 \), such that \( 0,0 \) becomes \( K-1,K-1 \)

\[
z_{\text{shift}}(l, n, m, x, y) = z(l, n, x - K + 1, y - K + 1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Div}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \sum_{n} \sum_{x', y'} \hat{w}(l, n, m, x', y') \frac{\partial Div}{\partial z_{\text{shift}}(l, n, x + x', y + y')}
\]
Derivative w.r.t $y$

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x',y'} w(l,n,m,K-1-x',K-1-y') \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z(l,n,x+x'-(K-1),y+y'-(K-1))}
\]

Define

\[
\hat{w}(l,n,m,x',y') = w(l,n,m,K-1-x',K-1-y')
\]

\[
z_{\text{shift}}(l,n,m,x,y) = z(l,n,x-K+1,y-K+1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l-1,m,x,y)} = \sum_n \sum_{x',y'} \hat{w}(l,n,m,x',y') \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z_{\text{shift}}(l,n,x+x',y+y')}
\]
Derivative w.r.t $y$

Define

$$\hat{w}(l, n, m, x', y') = w(l, n, m, K - 1 - x', K - 1 - y')$$

$$z_{shift}(l, n, m, x, y) = z(l, n, x - K + 1, y - K + 1)$$

Regular convolution running on shifted derivative maps using flipped filter

$$\frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial y(l - 1, m, x, y)} = \sum_{n} \sum_{x', y'} \hat{w}(l, n, m, x', y') \frac{\partial \text{Div}}{\partial z_{shift}(l, n, x + x', y + y')}$$
Derivatives for a single layer $l$: Vector notation

# The weight $W(l,j)$ is a 3D $D_{l-1} \times K_l \times K_l$

$$dzshift = \text{zeros}(D_{l}x(H_{l}+K_{l}-1)x(W_{l}+K_{l}-1)) \ # \text{pad for -ve indices}$$

for $j = 1:D_l$

    $Wflip(j,:,:,:) = \text{flipLeftRight}(\text{flipUpDown}(W(l,j,:,:,:)))$

    $dzshift(j,K_l:end,K_l:end) = dz(l,j,:,:,:) \ # \text{move idx 1->K}_l$

end

for $j = 1:D_l$

    for $x = 1:W_{l-1}$

        for $y = 1:H_{l-1}$

            segment = $dzshift(,: , x:x+K_l-1, y:y+K_l-1) \ # 3D tensor$

            $dy(l-1,j,x,y) = Wflip.\text{segment} \ # \text{tensor inner prod.}$

end

end
Pooling and downsampling

• Pooling is typically performed with strides > 1
  – Results in shrinking of the map
  – “Downsampling”
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• Pooling is typically performed with strides > 1
  – Results in shrinking of the map
  – “Downsampling”
• Max pooling selects the largest from a pool of elements
• Pooling is performed by “scanning” the input

\[ P(l, m, i, j) = \arg \max_{k \in \{(i-1)d+1, (i-1)d+K_{\text{pool}}\}, \ n \in \{(j-1)d+1, (j-1)d+K_{\text{pool}}\}} Y(l, m, k, n) \]

\[ U(l, m, i, j) = Y(l, m, P(l, m, i, j)) \]
Derivative of Max pooling

Max pooling selects the largest from a pool of elements.
Pooling is performed by “scanning” the input.

\[
\frac{dDiv}{dY(l, m, k, l)} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{dDiv}{dU(l, m, i, j)} & \text{if } (k, l) = P(l, m, i, j) \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
P(l, m, i, j) = \arg\max_{k \in \{(i-1)d+1, (i-1)d+K_{pool}\}, \ n \in \{(j-1)d+1, (j-1)d+K_{pool}\}} Y(l, m, k, n)
\]

\[
U(l, m, i, j) = Y(l, m, P(l, m, i, j))
\]
Max Pooling layer at layer $l$

a) Performed separately for every map (j).
   *) Not combining multiple maps within a single max operation.
b) Keeping track of location of max

Max pooling

for $j = 1:D_1$
  $m = 1$
  for $x = 1:\text{stride}(l) : W_{l-1} - K_1 + 1$
    $n = 1$
    for $y = 1:\text{stride}(l) : H_{l-1} - K_1 + 1$
      $\text{pidx}(l,j,m,n) = \text{maxidx}(y(l-1,j,x:x+K_1-1,y:y+K_1-1))$
      $u(l,j,m,n) = y(l-1,j,\text{pidx}(l,j,m,n))$
      $n = n+1$
    $m = m+1$
Derivative of max pooling layer at layer $l$

a) Performed separately for every map $(j)$.  
   *) Not combining multiple maps within a single max operation.  
b) Keeping track of location of max

Max pooling

$$dy(:, :, :) = \text{zeros}(D_1 \times W_1 \times H_1)$$
for $j = 1: D_1$
   for $x = 1: W_{l\_downsampled}$
      for $y = 1: H_{l\_downsampled}$
         $$dy(l, j, \text{pidx}(l, j, x, y)) += u(l, j, x, y)$$

“$+$“ because this entry may be selected in multiple adjacent overlapping windows
Mean pooling compute the mean of a pool of elements

Pooling is performed by “scanning” the input

\[
U(l, m, i, j) = \frac{1}{K_{pool}^2} \sum_{k \in \{(i-1)d+1, (i-1)d+K_{pool}\}, \ n \in \{(j-1)d+1, (j-1)d+K_{pool}\}} y(l, m, k, n)
\]
The derivative of mean pooling is distributed over the pool

\[
k \in \{(i - 1)d + 1, (i - 1)d + K_{l_{pool}}\}, \\
n \in \{(j - 1)d + 1, (j - 1)d + K_{l_{pool}}\}
\]

\[
dy(l, m, k, n) = \frac{1}{K_{l_{pool}}^2} du(l, m, k, n)
\]
Mean Pooling layer at layer $l$

Mean pooling

for $j = 1:D_1$
  
  $m = 1$

  for $x = 1:\text{stride}(l):W_{l-1}-K_1+1$
    
    $n = 1$

    for $y = 1:\text{stride}(l):H_{l-1}-K_1+1$
      
      $u(l,j,m,n) = \text{mean}(y(l-1,j,x:x+K_1-1,y:y+K_1-1))$

      $n = n+1$

    $m = m+1$

  $m = m+1$

a) Performed separately for every map ($j$).

*) Not combining multiple maps within a single max operation.

b) Keeping track of location of max
Derivative of mean pooling layer at layer $l$

**Mean pooling**

$$dy(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) = \text{zeros}(D_l \times W_l \times H_l)$$

for $j = 1:D_l$

$$m = 1$$

for $x = 1:W_{l\_downsampled}$

$$n = (x-1)\text{stride}$$

for $y = 1:H_{l\_downsampled}$

$$m = (y-1)\text{stride}$$

for $i = 1:K_{l\pool}$

for $j = 1:K_{l\pool}$

$$dy(l,j,pidx(l,j,n+i,m+j)) +=$$

$$(1/K_{l\pool}^2)u(l,j,x,y)$$

“+” because adjacent windows may overlap
Learning the network

• Have shown the derivative of divergence w.r.t every intermediate output, and every free parameter (filter weights)
• Can now be embedded in gradient descent framework to learn the network
The convolutional neural network is a supervised version of a computational model of mammalian vision. It includes:

- Convolutional layers comprising learned filters that scan the outputs of the previous layer.
- Downsampling layers that operate over groups of outputs from the convolutional layer to reduce network size.

The parameters of the network can be learned through regular backpropagation:

- Maxpooling layers must propagate derivatives only over the maximum element in each pool.
  - Other pooling operators can use regular gradients or subgradients.
- Derivatives must sum over appropriate sets of elements to account for the fact that the network is, in fact, a shared parameter network.
An implicit assumption

- We’ve always assumed that subsequent steps *shrink* the size of the maps
- Can subsequent maps *increase* in size
Recall this 1-D figure

• We’ve seen this before.. where??
Recall this 1-D figure

- Simplified diagram
With layer of increased size

- **Maintaining Symmetry:**
  - Vertical bars in the 4\(^{th}\) layer are regularly arranged w.r.t. bars of layer 3
  - The pattern of values of upward weights for each of the three pink (3\(^{rd}\) layer) bars is identical
With layer of increased size

- Flow of info from bottom to top when implemented as a left-to-right scan
  - Note: Arrangement of vertical bars is predetermined by architecture
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With layer of increased size

- Flow of info from bottom to top when implemented as a left-to-right scan
  - Note: Arrangement of vertical bars is predetermined by architecture
“Transposed Convolution”

- Connection rules are transposed for expanding layers
  - In shrinking layers, the pattern of *incoming weights* is identical for each bar
  - In expanding layers, the pattern of *outgoing (upward) weights* is identical for each bar

- When thought of as an MLP, can write
  \[ Z_t = W_t Y_{t-1} \]

- \( W_t \) is broader than tall for a shrinking layer
- \( W_t \) is taller than broad for an expanding layer
  - Sometimes viewed as the transpose of a broad matrix
- Leading to terminology “transpose convolution”
In 2-D

- Similar computation
2D expanding convolution

- Output size is typically an integer multiple of input
  - +1 if filter width is odd
    - Easier to determine assignment of output to input

\[ z(1, i, j) = \sum_{m} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} w(1, m, i - kb, j - lb) I(m, k, l) \]

*b is the “stride” (scaling factor between the sizes of Z and Y)*
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CNN: Expanding convolution layer $l$

$$Z(l) = \text{zeros}(D_l \times (W_b+K_l) \times (H_b+K_l)) \quad \# \text{ b = stride}$$

for $j = 1:D_l$

  for $x = 1:W$

    for $y = 1:H$

      for $i = 1:D_{l-1}$

        for $x' = 1:K_l$

          for $y' = 1:K_l$

            $z(l, j, (x-1)b+x', (y-1)b+y') +=$

            $w(l, j, i, x', y')y(l-1, i, x, y)$
CNN: Expanding convolution layer \( l \)

\[
Z(l) = \text{zeros}(D_l \times (W_b+K_l) \times (H_b+K_l)) \quad \# b = \text{stride}
\]

for \( j = 1:D_l \)
  
  for \( x = 1:W \)
    
    for \( y = 1:H \)
      
      for \( i = 1:D_{l-1} \)
        
        for \( x' = 1:K_l \)
          
          for \( y' = 1:K_l \)
            
            \[
            z(l,j,(x-1)b+x',(y-1)b+y') += w(l,j,i,x',y')y(l-1,i,x,y)
            \]

We leave the rather trivial issue of how to modify this code to compute the derivatives w.r.t \( w \) and \( y \) to you
2D expanding convolution

- Also called *transpose convolution*
  - If you recast the CNN as a shared-parameter MLP, expanding layers have weight matrices that are taller than wide
- Also called “deconvolution”
  - Strictly speaking, abuse of terminology

\[
z(1, i, j) = \sum_{m} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} w(1, m, i - kb, j - lb) I(m, k, l)
\]

$b$ is the “stride” (scaling factor between the sizes of $Z$ and $Y$)
Invariance

- CNNs are shift invariant
- What about rotation, scale or reflection invariance
Shift-invariance – a different perspective

\[ z(l, s, i, j) = \sum_{p=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} w(l, s, p, k, m) Y(l-1, p, i+k, j+m) \]

- We can rewrite this as so (tensor inner product)

\[ z(s, i, j) = Y.\text{shift}(w(s), i, j) \]
Generalizing shift-invariance

\[ z_{\text{regular}}(s, i, j) = \boldsymbol{Y}.\text{shift}(\mathbf{w}(s), i, j) \]

- Also find *rotated by 45 degrees* version of the pattern

\[ z_{\text{rot45}}(s, i, j) = \boldsymbol{Y}.\text{shift}(\text{rotate45}(\mathbf{w}(s)), i, j) \]
More generally each filter produces a set of transformed (and shifted) maps

- Set of transforms must be enumerated and discrete
- E.g. discrete set of rotations and scaling, reflections etc.

The network becomes invariant to all the transforms considered

\[ z_{T_t}(s, i, j) = Y.\text{shift}(T_t(w(s)), i, j) \]
Regular CNN : single layer $l$

The weight $W(l,j)$ is a 3D $D_{l-1} \times K_l \times K_l$ tensor

for $j = 1:D_l$
   
   for $x = 1:W_{l-1} - K_l + 1$
      
      for $y = 1:H_{l-1} - K_l + 1$
         
         segment = $Y(l-1, :, x:x+K_l-1, y:y+K_l-1)$  #3D tensor
         
         $z(l,j,x,y) = W(l,j).segment$  #tensor inner prod.
         
         $Y(l,j,x,y) = \text{activation}(z(l,j,x,y))$
Transform invariance

The weight $W(l,j)$ is a 3D $D_{l-1} \times K_l \times K_l$ tensor

$$m = 1$$
$$\text{for } j = 1:D_l$$
$$\text{for } t \in \{\text{Transforms}\} \quad \# \text{ enumerated transforms}$$
$$TW = T(W(l,j))$$
$$\text{for } x = 1:W_{l-1} - K_l + 1$$
$$\text{for } y = 1:H_{l-1} - K_l + 1$$
$$\text{segment} = Y(l-1,: , x:x+K_l-1, y:y+K_l-1) \quad \# \text{3D tensor}$$
$$z(l,m,x,y) = TW.\text{segment} \quad \# \text{tensor inner prod.}$$
$$Y(l,m,x,y) = \text{activation}(z(l,m,x,y))$$
$$m = m + 1$$
BP with transform invariance

• Derivatives flow back through the transforms to update individual filters
  – Need point correspondences between original and transformed filters
  – Left as an exercise
Story so far

• CNNs are shift-invariant neural-network models for shift-invariant pattern detection
  – Are equivalent to scanning with shared-parameter MLPs with distributed representations

• The parameters of the network can be learned through regular back propagation

• Like a regular MLP, individual layers may either increase or decrease the span of the representation learned

• The models can be easily modified to include invariance to other transforms
  – Although these tend to be computationally painful
But what about the exact location?

- We began with the desire to identify the picture as containing a flower, regardless of the position of the flower
  - Or more generally the class of object in the picture

- But can we detect the *position* of the main object?
Finding Bounding Boxes

- The flatten layer outputs to two separate output layers
- One predicts the class of the output
- The second predicts the corners of the bounding box of the object (8 coordinates) in all
- The divergence minimized is the sum of the cross-entropy loss of the classifier layer and L2 loss of the bounding-box predictor
  - Multi-task learning
Pose estimation

- Can use the same mechanism to predict the joints of a stick model
  - For post estimation
Model variations

• *Very deep* networks
  – 100 or more layers in MLP
  – Formalism called “Resnet”

• *“Depth-wise” convolutions*
  – Instead of multiple independent filters with independent parameters, use common layer-wise weights and combine the layers differently for each filter
Depth-wise convolutions

- Alternate view of conventional convolution:
  - Each layer of each filter scans its corresponding map to produce a convolved map
  - N input channels will require a filter with N layers
  - The independent convolutions of each layer of the filter result in N convolved maps
  - The N convolved maps are added together to produce the final output map (or channel) for that filter
• This is done separately for each of the M filters producing M output maps (channels)
Depth-wise convolution

- In *depth-wise convolution* the convolution step is performed only once
- The simple summation is replaced by a *weighted* sum across channels
  - Different weights (for summation) produce different output channels
Conventional vs. depth-wise convolution

**Conventional**

- M input channels, N output channels:
  - N independent M x K x K \textbf{3D} filters, which span all M input channels
  - Each filter produces one output channel
  - Total N M K^2 parameters

**Depth-wise**

- M input channels, N output channels in 2 stages:
  - Stage 1:
    - M independent K x K \textbf{2D} filters, one per input channel
    - Each filter applies to only one input channel
    - No. of output channels = no. of input channels
  - Stage 2:
    - N M x 1 x 1 \textbf{1D} filters
    - Each applies to \textit{one} 2D location across all M input channels
  - Total N M + M K^2 parameters
Story so far

• CNNs are shift-invariant neural-network models for shift-invariant pattern detection
  – Are equivalent to scanning with shared-parameter MLPs with distributed representations

• The parameters of the network can be learned through regular back propagation

• Like a regular MLP, individual layers may either increase or decrease the span of the representation learned

• The models can be easily modified to include invariance to other transforms
  – Although these tend to be computationally painful

• Can also make predictions related to the position and arrangement of target object through multi-task learning

• Several variations on the basic model exist to obtain greater parameter efficiency, better ability to compute derivatives, etc.
What do the filters learn?

Receptive fields

- The pattern in the *input* image that each neuron sees is its “Receptive Field”
- The receptive field for a first layer neurons is simply its arrangement of weights
- For the higher level neurons, the actual receptive field is not immediately obvious and must be *calculated*
  - What patterns in the input do the neurons actually respond to?
  - We estimate it by setting the output of the neuron to 1, and learning the *input* by backpropagation
Features learned from training on different object classes.
Training Issues

• Standard convergence issues
  – Solution: Adam or other momentum-style algorithms
  – Other tricks such as batch normalization

• The number of parameters can quickly become very large

• Insufficient training data to train well
  – Solution: Data augmentation
Data Augmentation

• rotation: uniformly chosen random angle between 0° and 360°
• translation: random translation between -10 and 10 pixels
• rescaling: random scaling with scale factor between 1/1.6 and 1.6 (log-uniform)
• flipping: yes or no (bernoulli)
• shearing: random shearing with angle between -20° and 20°
• stretching: random stretching with stretch factor between 1/1.3 and 1.3 (log-uniform)
Convolutional neural nets

• One of *the* most frequently used nnet formalism today

• Used *everywhere*
  – Not just for image classification
  – Used in speech and audio processing
    • Convnets on *spectrograms*
Digit classification
Le-net 5

- Digit recognition on MNIST (32x32 images)
  - **Conv1**: 6 5x5 filters in first conv layer (no zero pad), stride 1
    - Result: 6 28x28 maps
  - **Pool1**: 2x2 max pooling, stride 2
    - Result: 6 14x14 maps
  - **Conv2**: 16 5x5 filters in second conv layer, stride 1, no zero pad
    - Result: 16 10x10 maps
  - **Pool2**: 2x2 max pooling with stride 2 for second conv layer
    - Result: 16 5x5 maps (400 values in all)
  - **FC**: Final MLP: 3 layers
    - 120 neurons, 84 neurons, and finally 10 output neurons
Nice visual example

• http://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetjs/demo/cifar10.html
The imagenet task

- **Imagenet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC)**
- Actual dataset: Many million images, thousands of categories
- For the evaluations that follow:
  - 1.2 million pictures
  - 1000 categories
AlexNet

- 1.2 million high-resolution images from ImageNet LSVRC-2010 contest
- 1000 different classes (softmax layer)
- NN configuration
  - NN contains 60 million parameters and 650,000 neurons,
  - 5 convolutional layers, some of which are followed by max-pooling layers
  - 3 fully-connected layers

Krizhevsky et. al.

- Input: 227x227x3 images
- Conv1: 96 11x11 filters, stride 4, no zeropad
- Pool1: 3x3 filters, stride 2
- “Normalization” layer [Unnecessary]
- Conv2: 256 5x5 filters, stride 2, zero pad
- Pool2: 3x3, stride 2
- Normalization layer [Unnecessary]
- Conv3: 384 3x3, stride 1, zeropad
- Conv4: 384 3x3, stride 1, zeropad
- Conv5: 256 3x3, stride 1, zeropad
- Pool3: 3x3, stride 2
- FC: 3 layers,
  - 4096 neurons, 4096 neurons, 1000 output neurons
Alexnet: Total parameters

- 650K neurons
- 60M parameters
- 630M connections

• Testing: Multi-crop
  – Classify different shifts of the image and vote over the lot!

10 patches
Learning magic in Alexnet

• Activations were RELU
  – Made a large difference in convergence
• “Dropout” – 0.5 (in FC layers only)
• Large amount of data augmentation
• SGD with mini batch size 128
• Momentum, with momentum factor 0.9
• L2 weight decay 5e-4
• Learning rate: 0.01, decreased by 10 every time validation accuracy plateaus
• Evaluated using: Validation accuracy

• Final top-5 error: 18.2% with a single net, 15.4% using an ensemble of 7 networks
  – Lowest prior error using conventional classifiers: > 25%
Figure 3: 96 convolutional kernels of size 11×11×3 learned by the first convolutional layer on the 224×224×3 input images. The top 48 kernels were learned on GPU 1 while the bottom 48 kernels were learned on GPU 2. See Section 6.1 for details.

The net actually *learns* features!

Eight ILSVRC-2010 test images and the five labels considered most probable by our model. The correct label is written under each image, and the probability assigned to the correct label is also shown with a red bar (if it happens to be in the top 5).

ZFNet

- Zeiler and Fergus 2013
- Same as Alexnet except:
  - 7x7 input-layer filters with stride 2
  - 3 conv layers are 512, 1024, 512
  - Error went down from 15.4% \(\rightarrow\) 14.8%
    - Combining multiple models as before
VGGNet

- Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014
- *Only* used 3x3 filters, stride 1, pad 1
- *Only* used 2x2 pooling filters, stride 2

- Tried a large number of architectures.
- Finally obtained **7.3% top-5 error** using 13 conv layers and 3 FC layers
  - Combining 7 classifiers
  - Subsequent to paper, reduced error to 6.8% using only two classifiers
- Final arch: 64 conv, 64 conv, 64 pool, 128 conv, 128 conv, 128 pool, 256 conv, 256 conv, 256 conv, 256 pool, 512 conv, 512 conv, 512 conv, 512 pool, 512 conv, 512 conv, 512 conv, 512 pool, FC with 4096, 4096, 1000
- ~140 million parameters in all! Madness!
Googlenet: Inception

• Multiple filter sizes simultaneously
• Details irrelevant; error $\rightarrow 6.7%$
  – Using only 5 million parameters, thanks to average pooling
• Resnet: 2015
  – Current top-5 error: < 3.5%
  – Over 150 layers, with “skip” connections.
Resnet details for the curious..

- Last layer before addition must have the same number of filters as the input to the module
- Batch normalization after each convolution
- SGD + momentum (0.9)
- Learning rate 0.1, divide by 10 (batch norm lets you use larger learning rate)
- Mini batch 256
- Weight decay 1e-5
Densenet

- All convolutional
- Each layer looks at the union of maps from all previous layers
  - Instead of just the set of maps from the immediately previous layer
- Was state of the art before I went for coffee one day
  - Wasn’t when I got back.
Many many more architectures

• Daily updates on arxiv..

• Many more applications
  – CNNs for speech recognition
  – CNNs for language processing!
  – More on these later..
CNN for Automatic Speech Recognition

- Convolution over frequencies
- Convolution over time

Table 1: TIMIT core test set phone recognition error rate comparisons.
CNN-Recap

• Neural network with specialized connectivity structure
• Feed-forward:
  - Convolve input
  - Non-linearity (rectified linear)
  - Pooling (local max)
• Supervised training
• Train convolutional filters by back-propagating error
• Convolution over time