**AKSHAT GUPTA** 

TRANSFORMERS AND GRAPH NEURAL NETWORKS

TRANSFORMERS

- Introduction to Transformers
- Transformers Background
- The Attention Mechanism
- The Transformer Architecture
- GPT and BERT

# CONTENT

Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need

June 12, 2017

#### Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need

June 12, 2017

Table 2: The Transformer achieves better BLEU scores than previous state-of-the English-to-German and English-to-French newstest2014 tests at a fraction of the

Model	BL	EU	Training Cost (FLOPs)		
IVIOUEI	EN-DE	EN-FR	EN-DE	EN-FR	
ByteNet [18]	23.75				
Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]		39.2		$1.0\cdot 10^{20}$	
GNMT + RL [38]	24.6	39.92	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.4\cdot10^{20}$	
ConvS2S [9]	25.16	40.46	$9.6\cdot 10^{18}$	$1.5\cdot 10^{20}$	
MoE [32]	26.03	40.56	$2.0\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2\cdot 10^{20}$	
Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39]		40.4		$8.0\cdot10^{20}$	
GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]	26.30	41.16	$1.8\cdot 10^{20}$	$1.1\cdot 10^{21}$	
ConvS2S Ensemble [9]	26.36	41.29	$7.7\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2\cdot10^{21}$	
Transformer (base model)	27.3	38.1	$3.3\cdot 10^{18}$		
Transformer (big)	28.4	41.8	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$		

e-art models on t	the
training cost.	

#### Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need

June 12, 2017

Table 2: The Transformer achieves better BLEU scores than previous state-of-the-art models on the English-to-German and English-to-French newstest2014 tests at a fraction of the training cost.

Model		BL	Training Co	
		EN-DE	EN-FR	EN-DE
-	ByteNet [18]	23.75		
	Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]		39.2	
	GNMT + RL [38]	24.6	39.92	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$
	ConvS2S [9]	25.16	40.46	$9.6\cdot 10^{18}$
	MoE [32]	26.03	40.56	$2.0\cdot 10^{19}$
	Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39]		40.4	
	GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]	26.30	41.16	$1.8\cdot 10^{20}$
_	ConvS2S Ensemble [9]	26.36	41.29	$7.7\cdot 10^{19}$
-	Transformer (base model)	27.3	38.1	3.3 ·
	Transformer (big)	28.4	41.8	$2.3 \cdot$

 Lost (FLOPs)

 EN-FR

  $1.0 \cdot 10^{20}$ 
 $1.4 \cdot 10^{20}$ 
 $1.5 \cdot 10^{20}$ 
 $1.2 \cdot 10^{20}$ 
 $8.0 \cdot 10^{20}$ 
 $1.1 \cdot 10^{21}$ 
 $1.2 \cdot 10^{21}$ 



**Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need** 

June 12, 2017

**First GPT paper by OpenAI:** Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training

June 11, 2018

**Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need** 

**First GPT paper by OpenAI:** Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training

June 12, 2017

June 11, 2018

Table 5: Analysis of various model ablations on different tasks. Avg. score is a unweighted average of all the results. (*mc*= Mathews correlation, *acc*=Accuracy, *pc*=Pearson correlation)

Method	Avg. Score	CoLA (mc)	SST2 (acc)	MRPC (F1)	STSB (pc)	QQP (F1)	MNLI (acc)	QNLI (acc)	RTE (acc)
Transformer w/ aux LM (full)	74.7	45.4	91.3	82.3	82.0	70.3	81.8	88.1	56.0
Transformer w/o pre-training Transformer w/o aux LM LSTM w/ aux LM	59.9 <b>75.0</b> 69.1	18.9 <b>47.9</b> 30.3	84.0 <b>92.0</b> 90.5	79.4 <b>84.9</b> 83.2	30.9 <b>83.2</b> 71.8	65.5 69.8 68.1	75.7 81.1 73.7	71.2 86.9 81.1	53.8 54.4 54.6

**Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need** 

June 12, 2017

**First GPT paper by OpenAI:** Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training

June 11, 2018

#### **First BERT paper by Google: Pre-Training Deep Bidirectional Transformers** for Language Understanding

Oct 11, 2018

**Original Transformers Paper : Attention Is All You Need** 

June 12, 2017

First GPT paper by OpenAI: Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training					First BERT paper by Google: Pre-Training Deep Bidirectional Transf for Language Understanding						
Ju	ne 11, 2018	3						Oct 1	1, 201		
System	MNLI-(m/mm) 392k	QQP 363k	QNLI 108k	SST-2 67k	CoLA 8.5k	STS-B 5.7k	MRPC 3.5k	RTE 2.5k	Average -		
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0		
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.8	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0		
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	87.4	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.1		
BERT <sub>BASE</sub>	84.6/83.4 <b>86.7/85.9</b>	71.2 72.1	90.5 <b>92.7</b>	93.5 <b>94.9</b>	52.1 60.5	85.8 <b>86.5</b>	88.9 <b>89.3</b>	66.4 <b>70</b> .1	79.6 <b>82</b> .1		
	00.7700.7		/ 201	7707	00.0	00.0	0710	/ 0.1	02.1		

Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the evaluation server (https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard). The number below each task denotes the number of training examples. The "Average" column is slightly different than the official GLUE score, since we exclude the problematic WNLI set.<sup>8</sup> BERT and OpenAI GPT are singlemodel, single task. F1 scores are reported for QQP and MRPC, Spearman correlations are reported for STS-B, and accuracy scores are reported for the other tasks. We exclude entries that use BERT as one of their components.

ormers 8

- Word Embeddings
- Encoder Decoder Models
- Attention

#### Word Embeddings

- Encoder Decoder Models
- Attention

• Word Embeddings

Representing words in the form of vectors that incorporate information such as word meaning and context.

Word Embeddings

Representing words in the form of vectors that incorporate information such as word meaning and context.

man	
пипип	
rock	



Word Embeddings

Representing words in the form of vectors that incorporate information such as word meaning and context.

man	
human	 ( wo
rock	



- Word Embeddings
- Encoder Decoder Models
- Attention

Encoder Decoder Models

Formalizes tasks into two steps maps the input into an encoded representation used by the decoder to generate output

#### Encoder Decoder Models

Formalizes tasks into two steps maps the input into an encoded representation used by the decoder to generate output



#### Encoder Decoder Models

Formalizes tasks into two steps maps the input into an encoded representation used by the decoder to generate output





#### Encoder Decoder Models

Formalizes tasks into two steps maps the input into an encoded representation used by the decoder to generate output





See any problems here?

- Word Embeddings
- Encoder Decoder Models
- Attention

Attention Mechanism

#### Attention Mechanism



#### Attention Mechanism



#### Attention Mechanism





![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Attention Mechanism

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

TRANSFORMERS : THE ATTENTION MECHANISM

- Attention
- Self-Attention
- Multi-Head Attention

#### Attention

- Self-Attention
- Multi-Head Attention

• Attention

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

• Attention

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### ATTENTION

↑

Attention 

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### What is the other input to the attention module at the beginning of generation?

ATTENTION

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

• Attention

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

At t = 0, First time step of generation

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Attention

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

At t = 0, First time step of generation

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)
Attention : Set Up





At t = 0, First time step of generation

Attention : Set Up



At t = 0, First time step of generation

### Calculating Attention



At t = 0, First time step of generation

### Calculating Attention



STEP -1 : CALCULATE A SIMILARITY MEASURE BETWEEN QUERY AND EACH KEY  $e_i(t) = g(q(t), k_i)$ 

### Calculating Attention



### STEP -1 : CALCULATE A SIMILARITY MEASURE BETWEEN QUERY AND EACH KEY $e_i(t) = g(q(t), k_i)$

**Examples:** 

 $g(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i) = \boldsymbol{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{k}_i$ 



### Calculating Attention



### STEP -1 : CALCULATE A SIMILARITY MEASURE BETWEEN QUERY AND EACH KEY $e_i(t) = g(q(t), k_i)$

**Examples:** 

 $g(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i) = \boldsymbol{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{k}_i$  $g(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i) = \boldsymbol{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{k}_i$ 



### Calculating Attention



STEP -1 : CALCULATE A SIMILARITY MEASURE BETWEEN QUERY AND EACH KEY  $e_i(t) = g(q(t), k_i)$ 

STEP -2 : TAKE SOFTMAX OVER RAW WEIGHTS  $Wi(t) = \frac{\exp(e_i(q(t), k_i))}{\sum_j \exp(e_j(q(t), k_j))}$ 

### Calculating Attention



## $O(k,q(t),v) = \sum_{i} W_{i}(t) V_{i}$

STEP -3 : TAKE A LINEAR COMBINATION

# wi(t) = $\frac{\exp(e_i(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{k}_i))}{\sum_j \exp(e_j(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{k}_j))}$

STEP -2 : TAKE SOFTMAX OVER RAW WEIGHTS

### BETWEEN QUERY AND EACH KEY $e_i(t) = g(q(t), k_i)$

STEP -1 : CALCULATE A SIMILARITY MEASURE

Attention 

h<sub>3</sub>

**ATTENTION** 



**NOTE : Query, Key, Values are generalizations of the input to the attention mechanism.** 

## $\rightarrow O(k,q(t),v) = \sum_{i} Wi(t) Vi$





- Attention
- Self-Attention
- Multi-Head Attention

Self-Attention

Self-Attention



DECODER

ATTENTION



### Self-Attention



### Self-Attention



### Self-Attention



### Self-Attention

Find the attention of each hidden state with every other hidden state in the sequence



#### QUERY

h<sub>1</sub> q<sub>1</sub>

### Self-Attention

Find the attention of each hidden state with every other hidden state in the sequence



#### QUERY

### h<sub>1</sub> q<sub>1</sub>

### $O_1(k_i, q_1) = \sum w_i v_i$

$$w_{i(t)} = \frac{\exp(e_i(\boldsymbol{q}_{(t)}, \boldsymbol{k}_j))}{\sum_j \exp(e_j(\boldsymbol{q}_{(t)}, \boldsymbol{k}_j))}$$



#### **Self-Attention**







#### **Self-Attention**





#### **Self-Attention**







### Self-Attention



### Self-Attention

Find the attention of each hidden state with every other hidden state in the sequence



Note: Our attention mechanism has no learnable parameter if we use dot product attention

### Self-Attention





### Self-Attention





What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention



What does self attention do here?

### Self-Attention





What does self attention do here?

#### **Self-Attention**





Do we really need the LSTM to model sequences?



### Self-Attention



Do we really need the LSTM to model sequences?



#### **Self-Attention**



Do we really need the LSTM to model sequences?



#### **Self-Attention**





Do we really need the LSTM to model sequences?


### **Self-Attention**





### Do we really need the LSTM to model sequences? NO!

**ENCODER BLOCK** 



### **Self-Attention**





### Do we really need the LSTM to model sequences? NO!

# ATTENTION IS ALL YOU NEED!

**ENCODER BLOCK** 





- Attention
- Self-Attention
- Multi-Head Attention

### Multi-Head Attention

NOTE : Query, Key, Values are generalizations of the input to the attention mechanism.



### Multi-Head Attention

NOTE : Query, Key, Values are generalizations of the input to the attention mechanism.



 $W_k =:$  To convert input sequence to keys

### Multi-Head Attention

NOTE : Query, Key, Values are generalizations of the input to the attention mechanism.



 $W_k =:$  To convert input sequence to keys  $W_v =:$  To convert input sequence to values

### Multi-Head Attention

NOTE : Query, Key, Values are generalizations of the input to the attention mechanism.



$$h_2 \qquad h_3 \qquad h_3$$
$$q_2 = W_q h_2 \qquad q_3 = W_q h_3$$
QUERIES

 $W_k =:$  To convert input sequence to keys  $W_v =:$  To convert input sequence to values  $W_q =:$  To convert input sequence to values













### **Multi-Head Attention**



### ATTENTION IS ALL YOU NEED!

**ENCODER BLOCK** 





**ENCODER BLOCK** 



























Multiple stacked encoder and decoder blocks!



Multiple stacked encoder and decoder blocks!

**Layer Normalization!** 



Multiple stacked encoder and decoder blocks!

**Layer Normalization!** 

**Positional Embeddings!** 

**GPT ARCHITECTURE** 

# GPT ARCHITECTURE



### BERT ARCHITECTURE

# BERT ARCHITECTURE



GRAPH NEURAL NETWORKS

WHY GRAPH NEURAL NETWORKS?

### WHY GNN?



### **Social Networks**

### WHY GNN?





**Social Networks** 

# WHY GNN?

### World Wide Web or **Citation Networks**





**Social Networks** 

# WHY GNN?





### Molecules





**Social Networks** 

Question: When can we model data as graphs?

# WHY GNN?

### World Wide Web or **Citation Networks**

Molecules





**Social Networks** 

When our domain has data points with a relational structure.

# WHY GNN?



### World Wide Web or **Citation Networks**

Molecules
• There are many cases where we represent data as graphs.



### When our domain has data points with a relational structure.

# WHY GNN?

### A QUICK INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHS

# A QUICK INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHS





- OBJECTS / DATA POINTS : Nodes, Vertices (V)
- INTERACTIONS / RELATIONS : Links, Edges (E)
- SYSTEM : Network, Graphs (G)
- NODE ATTRIBUTES : Feature vectors (X)





- OBJECTS / DATA POINTS : Nodes, Vertices (V)
- INTERACTIONS / RELATIONS : Links, Edges (E)
- SYSTEM : Network, Graphs (G)
- NODE ATTRIBUTES : Feature vectors (X)



- NODE ATTRIBUTES : Feature vectors (X)
- SYSTEM : Network, Graphs (G)
- INTERACTIONS / RELATIONS : Links, Edges (E)
- OBJECTS / DATA POINTS : Nodes, Vertices (V)



# A QUICK INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHS



- NODE ATTRIBUTES : Feature vectors (X)
- SYSTEM : Network, Graphs (G)
- INTERACTIONS / RELATIONS : Links, Edges (E)
- OBJECTS / DATA POINTS : Nodes, Vertices (V)



# A QUICK INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHS Β

### G = (V, E)



Ε



- OBJECTS / DATA POINTS : Nodes, Vertices (V)
- INTERACTIONS / RELATIONS : Links, Edges (E)
- SYSTEM : Network, Graphs (G)
- NODE ATTRIBUTES : Feature vectors (X)

# A QUICK INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHS Β G = (V, E)Ε



TASKS ON A GRAPH

### TASKS ON A GRAPH



### GRAPH CLASSIFICATION

NODE CLASSIFICATION

LINK PREDICTION



### TASKS ON A GRAPH

### GRAPH CLASSIFICATION

LINK PREDICTION

NODE CLASSIFICATION : Topic Classification





### GRAPH CLASSIFICATION

- LINK PREDICTION
- NODE CLASSIFICATION : Topic Classification



- GRAPH CLASSIFICATION
- LINK PREDICTION : Recommendation Systems
- NODE CLASSIFICATION : Topic Classification



- GRAPH CLASSIFICATION
- LINK PREDICTION : Recommendation Systems
- NODE CLASSIFICATION : Topic Classification



- GRAPH CLASSIFICATION : Image Classification
- LINK PREDICTION : Recommendation Systems
- NODE CLASSIFICATION : Topic Classification



- GRAPH CLASSIFICATION : Image Classification
- LINK PREDICTION : Recommendation Systems
- NODE CLASSIFICATION : Topic Classification



Question : Can we represent images as graphs?









Fixed sized grids!





SOLVING A PROBLEM USING GNN : Node Classification

# PROBLEM SET UP : Citation Network

Given a citation network, classify a paper topic into either Natural Language Processing (NLP) or Computer Vision (CV) paper.

# PROBLEM SET UP : Citation Network

- Node Classification
- Binary Classification NLP, CV  $\bullet$

Given a citation network, classify a paper topic into either Natural Language Processing (NLP) or Computer Vision (CV) paper.

# STEP - 1 : INITIALIZATION

# STEP - 1 : INITIALIZATION

• Graph representing a citation network with labels



# STEP - 1 : INITIALIZATION

- Graph representing a citation network with labels
- Each node has a feature vector initialized by some heuristic



### QUESTION : WHAT DO WE DO NEXT?



### QUESTION : WHAT DO WE DO NEXT?

HINT : Take inspiration from traditional CV or NLP models.



### QUESTION : WHAT DO WE DO NEXT?

Currently the node feature vectors describe local information about each paper.

Place each node in context of the rest of the graph.



Aggregate neighboring features to place every node in context of the graph.

Aggregate neighboring features to place every node in context of the graph.

Model the relations of each node

Aggregate neighboring features to place every node in context of the graph.

- Model the relations of each node
- Create node embeddings incorporating the context of the graph

Aggregate neighboring features to place every node in context of the graph.

- Model the relations of each node
- Create node embeddings incorporating the context of the graph

### QUESTION : HOW DO WE DO AGGREGATION?










### $t_{A}^{1} = f(h_{E}^{0}, h_{B}^{0}, h_{C}^{0})$





### $t_{A}^{1} = f(h_{E}^{0}, h_{B}^{0}, h_{C}^{0})$

Aggregation Function : Order Invariant!





### $t_{A}^{1} = f(h_{E}^{0}, h_{B}^{0}, h_{C}^{0}) + g(h_{A}^{0})$

Aggregation Function : Order Invariant!

Aggregation Function : Summation, Average, Max





Aggregation:

 $t^{1}_{v} = f(h^{0}_{u_{1,}}h^{0}_{u_{2,}}h^{0}_{u_{3,}}....) + g(h^{0}_{v}), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 







Aggregation:

 $t_{v}^{1} = f(h_{u_{1,}}^{0} h_{u_{2,}}^{0} h_{u_{3,}}^{0} \dots), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 



Aggregation:

 $t_{v}^{1} = f(h_{u_{1}}^{0} h_{u_{2}}^{0} h_{u_{3}}^{0} \dots), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 



Aggregation:

 $t_{v}^{1} = f(h_{u_{1,}}^{0} h_{u_{2,}}^{0} h_{u_{3,}}^{0} \dots), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 

FC Layer and Activation:

 $h_{v}^{1} = \sigma (g(t_{u_{1}}^{1} t_{u_{2}}^{1} t_{u_{3}}^{1} \dots)), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 





Aggregation:

 $t_{v}^{1} = f(h_{u_{1}}^{0} h_{u_{2}}^{0} h_{u_{3}}^{0} \dots), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 

FC Layer and Activation:

 $h_{v}^{1} = \sigma (g(t_{u_{1}}^{1} t_{u_{2}}^{1} t_{u_{3}}^{1} \dots)), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 





Aggregation:

 $t_{v}^{1} = f(h_{u_{1}}^{0} h_{u_{2}}^{0} h_{u_{3}}^{0} \dots), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 

FC Layer and Activation:

 $h_{v}^{1} = \sigma (g(t_{u_{1}}^{1} t_{u_{2}}^{1} t_{u_{3}}^{1} \dots)), \forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 

**GRAPH CONVOLUTIONAL NETWORK (GCN)!** 



# STEP - 3: CLASSIFICATION LAYER



 $\rightarrow$  NLP/CV?

# STEP - 3: CLASSIFICATION LAYER



 $\rightarrow$  NLP/CV?

BINARY CROSS ENTROPY LOSS!

# STEP - 3: CLASSIFICATION LAYER



BINARY CROSS ENTROPY LOSS! TRAIN!



One layer of GCN is applied in two steps:



One layer of GCN is applied in two steps:

Aggregation



One layer of GCN is applied in two steps:

- Aggregation
- Linear layer application followed by non-linearity



One layer of GCN is applied in two steps:

- Aggregation
- Linear layer application followed by non-linearity

Do this for every node!







### STEP -1 : MATRIX MULTIPLICATION



### STEP -1 : MATRIX MULTIPLICATION



#### **STEP-2 : CONTINUE FOR EACH PIXEL** WITH SHARED WEIGHTS

### STEP -1 : MATRIX MULTIPLICATION



#### **STEP-2 : CONTINUE FOR EACH PIXEL** WITH SHARED WEIGHTS

### CNN VS GCN GCN A С Β D

Ε

### **STEP -1 : MATRIX MULTIPLICATION**



#### **STEP-2 : CONTINUE FOR EACH PIXEL** WITH SHARED WEIGHTS





Aggregation:

 $t^{1}_{v} = f (h^{0}_{u_{1,}} h^{0}_{u_{2,}} h^{0}_{u_{3,}} ....)$  ,  $\forall u_{i} \in N(v)$ 

### **STEP -1 : MATRIX MULTIPLICATION**



#### **STEP-2 : CONTINUE FOR EACH PIXEL** WITH SHARED WEIGHTS

# CNN VS GCN

# GCN

#### **STEP -1 : AGGREGATION**

#### **STEP-2: MATRIX MULTIPLICATION**



### **STEP -1 : MATRIX MULTIPLICATION**



#### **STEP-2 : CONTINUE FOR EACH PIXEL** WITH SHARED WEIGHTS

## CNN VS GCN

# GCN

#### **STEP -1 : AGGREGATION**

#### **STEP-2: MATRIX MULTIPLICATION**

#### **STEP-3: CONTINUE FOR EACH PIXEL** WITH SHARED WEIGHTS

