11-785 Spring 2020 Recitation 9

Attention Networks

Ken, Yuying

What we could do with RNN so far

- "Many-to-One" Architecture
 - (HW3P1) Sequence Classification: sequence -> label
- Streaming "Many-to-Many" Architecture
 - (HW3P2) speech frame sequence -> phoneme sequence
 - Order-correspondence
 - Each output corresponds to a small segment of input sequence



How about these tasks?

Text \rightarrow Translation of that text

Image \rightarrow A caption describing the image

Document, Question \rightarrow Answer selected from the document

"Generative" Architecture

- The output sequence can only be built after seeing the entire input sequence
- The output is itself a sequence, **generated** from the input sequence



Decoder = Conditional Generator

In Math:

$$P(y_t | x_1, ..., x_T, y_1, ..., y_{t-1})$$

Each item in the output sequence must be conditioned on:

- The entire input sequence
- All the past output items

In Deep Learning:

The decoder must have access to:

- Some kind of encoding of the entire input sequence
- The past states of the decoder

How to encode the input sequence

Recall the "many-to-many" Architecture (HW3P2):



How to encode the input sequence

Now remove the output targets:

Hidden states only encode information about the history of inputs Ideally the **last hidden state** is an encoding of the entire input sequence Let's consider the last hidden state first



How to inform the decoder of the input encoding

- Pass the last hidden state of the input sequence at:
 - the first time step (to be forgotten later?)
 - every time step
- Pass a more flexible input encoding at every time step
 - How flexible? Determined by the current decoder state

Network Prototype 1

Produce an encoding of the entire input.



Repeatedly pass the encoding to the output network.



Problems?

- Using one fixed vector to encode an entire sequence, hoping that the last hidden state could compress all the information
 - Hard to train. Input encoding vector is overloaded with information, and earlier inputs tends to get forgotten
 - Hard for the decoder to focus. Each time it's seeing the same thing

How to inform the decoder of the input encoding

- Pass the last hidden state of the input sequence at:
 - the first time step (to be forgotten later?)
 - every time step
- Pass a more flexible input encoding at every time step
 - How flexible? Decided by the current decoder state

Let the decoder decide the input encoding

Intuition:

At each time step, the decoder **focuses** on a specific segment of the input sequence to produce the current output

Formulation:

- Compute a time-varying input encoding that focuses on the part of input that matters to the current time step in the output
- Therefore, this input encoding should be a function of:
 - The decoder hidden state at the current time step
 - The encoder hidden states at each input time step

General Attention Mechanism

- Construct a query \mathbf{q}_i from the decoder state \mathbf{h}_i^{dec}
 - Represents the decoder's interest
- Construct a **key** \mathbf{k}_i from the encoder state \mathbf{h}_i^{enc}
- Calculate an attention score att(q_i, k_j)
 - Tells how much at output time step *i* the decoder should focus on the *j*-th input item
- Construct a value \mathbf{v}_i from the encoder state \mathbf{h}_i^{enc}
- Then construct the encoding by computing a weighted sum of values using attention scores as weights:
 - $\sum_{j=1}^{T} \operatorname{att}(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{k}_j) \mathbf{v}_j$

Network Prototype 2

Encode each element of the input sequence into a vector.

For each time step, generate a query, compute an attention on this sequence. Generate a linear combination of the input items using the computed attention values as weights.

Pass this combination to the output RNN.



Variation: Dot Product Attention

- Query $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{h}_i^{dec}$
- Key $\mathbf{k}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Value $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Attention score att(q_i , k_j) = softmax($q_i \cdot k_j$) (over all j)
 - Simplest similarity calculation (but works well in practice)
 - Does not introduce new parameters

Variation: Dot Product Attention

- Query $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{h}_i^{dec}$
- Key $\mathbf{k}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Value $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Attention score att(q_i , k_j) = softmax($q_i \cdot k_j$) (over all j)
 - Simplest similarity calculation (but works well in practice)
 - Does not introduce new parameters

Variation: Bilinear Attention

- Query $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{h}_i^{dec}$
- Key $\mathbf{k}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Value $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Attention score att(\mathbf{q}_i , \mathbf{k}_j) = softmax($\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{k}_j$) (over all j)
 - Queries and keys do not have to be in the same space
 - Introduces new parameters

Variation: Additive Attention

- Query $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{h}_i^{dec}$
- **Key** $\mathbf{k}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Value $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{h}_j^{enc}$
- Attention score att(\mathbf{q}_i , \mathbf{k}_j) = softmax($\mathbf{W}_a^T \tanh(\mathbf{W}_q \mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{k}_j)$) (over all j)

Variation: Scaled Dot Product Attention

- Query $\mathbf{q}_i = MLP_q(\mathbf{h}_i^{dec})$
- Key $\mathbf{k}_j = MLP_k(\mathbf{h}_j^{enc})$
- Value $\mathbf{v}_j = MLP_v(\mathbf{h}_j^{enc})$
- Attention score att(\mathbf{q}_i , \mathbf{k}_j) = softmax $\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_j}{\sqrt{H}}\right)$ (over all j)
 - Use the dot product to calculate similarity for projected key value representation
 - Scaled by the sqrt of hidden size in order not to saturate the gradient of softmax

RNN-based Attention is great.. but

- Sequential nature of RNNs make them impossible to fully parallelize
 - Step-by-step computation relies on the output of the previous time-step
 - Cannot leverage those hard-core GPUs
- They struggle with long-term dependencies
 - What about LSTMs? Still can't hold information across very long sequences..
 - In NLP tasks, the same word can mean very different things based on context

Maybe Attention is All You Need

Transformer Nets

- Revolutionary machine-translation (sequence to sequence) architecture from Google
- Forget about RNNs, capture dependencies across the sequences using attention
- This lets the encoder and decoder see the entire sequence at once
- Also allows more parallelism than RNNs



The dependency that the Transformer has to learn. Now the path length is independent of the length of the source and target sentences.

Multi-Head Attention

- Attention can be interpreted as a way of computing the relevance of a set of values, based on some keys and queries.
- Attention is applied multiple times to capture more complex input dependencies
- Each attention 'head' has unique weights
- Each 'head' can focus on different parts of the input sequence (and probably serves different purposes)



The Multi-Head Attention block

Encoder

- Contains multiple 'blocks' (~6 blocks)
- Residual connections between the multi-head attention blocks
- Positional encodings explicitly encode the relative and absolute positions of the inputs as vectors
- These encodings are then added to the input embeddings
- Without them the output for *"I like 11-785 more than 10-707"* would be identical to the output for *"I like 10-707 more than 11-785"*



Decoder

- Very similar to the encoder
- 'Masked' Multi-Head Attention block to hide future output values during training
- The query from the decoder is used with the keys/values from the encoder
- Final output probabilities are computed using a projection layer followed by a softmax



Big Picture

- Input sequence is used to compute the **keys** and **values** in the encoder
- Masked-attention blocks in the decoder transform the output sequence until the current time-step into the **queries**
- Multi-head attention in the decoder combines the keys, queries and values
- The result is projected into output probabilities for the current time step



More detailed explanation